

71 Black Road Unit 8 Sault Ste Marle, ON P6B 0A3 WWW.TULLOCH.ca

> February 8, 2019 19-1037

# Attention: Len Ivison, A.Sc.T. Senior Project Manager

Re: Stormwater Management Plan – Nation Rise Wind Farm Township of North Stormont, ON.

Dear Sir:

Please find enclosed our revised Stormwater Management Plan for the above noted project in The Township of North Stormont, Ontario.

This report presents the Stormwater Management Plan addressing the proposed construction of the substation, laydown area and maintenance access roads for the Nation Rise Wind Farm.

We trust the enclosed is adequate for your needs at this time. If there is anything further we can provide please contact us at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Josh Lelievre, P.Eng. TULLOCH Engineering Inc.

### **Distribution List**

# of Hard Copies	PDF Required	Association / Company Name
	1	EDP Kenewables

### **Revision Log**

Revision # Revised By		Date	Issue / Revision Description				
0	JL	December 21, 2018	Issued for Review				
1	JL	January 8, 2019	Issued for Permit				
2	JL	January 30, 2019	Revised as per MECP Comments				
3	JL	February 8, 2019	Revised per additional MECP Comments				

### **TULLOCH Signatures**



### **Report Prepared By:**

Josh Lelievre, P.Eng. Project Manager	Date
C. L. KIRBY 100111792	)
Chris Kirby, P.Ena	Date

## Report Reviewed By:

Project Manager

Date

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.		Introc	luction	1
1.	.1	Ge	neral	1
1.	.2	Exi	isting Conditions	1
	1.	2.1	Substation	1
	1.	2.2	Laydown Area	1
1.	.3	Pro	oposed Conditions	2
	1.	3.1	Substation	2
	1.	3.2	Laydown Area	2
1.	.4	Hy	drologic Modelling	2
2.		Storn	nwater Management	3
2.	.1	Pre	e-Development Conditions	3
	2.	1.1	Substation	3
	2.	1.2	Laydown Area	4
2.	.2	Du	ring Construction Conditions	5
2.	.3	Po	st-Development Conditions	5
	2.	3.1	Substation	5
	2.	3.2	Laydown Area	7
2.	.4	Re	sults and Recommendations	8
	2.	4.1	Substation	8
	2.	4.2	Laydown Area	9
	2.	4.3	Access Roads & Turbine Sites	11
	2.	4.4	Quality Control	11
3.		Opera	ations and Maintenance	12
4.		Erosi	on and Sediment Control	12
5.		Conc	lusions	12
6.		Repo	rt Limitations and Guidelines for Use	13
7.		Closu	Ire	13

### **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1.1: Key Plan and Overall Site Figure 1.2: Substation Existing Conditions Drainage Plan Figure 1.3: Laydown Existing Conditions Drainage Plan Figure 1.4: Substation Future Conditions Drainage Plan Figure 1.5: Laydown Future Conditions Drainage Plan

### LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1.1: MTO IDF Curves Township of North Stormont Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)
- Table 2.1: Substation Pre-Development Input Parameters
- Table 2.2: Substation Pre-Development Peak Flows
- Table 2.3: Laydown Area Pre-Development Input Parameters
- Table 2.4: Laydown Area Pre-Development Peak Flows
- Table 2.5: Substation Post-Development Input Parameters
- Table 2.6: Substation Post-Development Unattenuated Peak Flows
- Table 2.7: Laydown Area Post-Development Input Parameters
- Table 2.8: Laydown Area Post-Development Unattenuated Peak Flows
- Table 2.9: Substation Summary of Pre-Development and Post-Development Peak Flows
- Table 2.10: Laydown Area Summary of Pre-Development and Post-Development Peak Flows
- Table 2.11: Laydown Area Ponding Area Analysis Results
- Table 2.12: Laydown Area Summary of Pre-Development and Post-Development Peak Flows

### **APPENDICES**

Appendix A: Pre-Development and Post-Development Hydrographs Appendix B: Time of Concentration Calculations



### 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 General

TULLOCH Engineering (TULLOCH) was retained by EDP Renewables to complete a Stormwater Management (SWM) Plan for the 99.76 MW Nation Rise Wind Farm (NRWF) located 40 kilometres southeast of Ottawa in The Township of North Stormont, Ontario, Canada. An overview of the NRWF development is indicated on the following Figure 1.1.

The overall development will consist of gravel access roads for the wind turbines, a substation and a temporary laydown area. This report will focus on the two (2) areas of development which would be expected to result in changes to stormwater runoff under proposed conditions. The two (2) areas are the substation and the laydown area.

It should be noted that the gravel access roads and turbine sites are not expected to have significant hydrologic impacts and are discussed further in Section 2.3.3 of this report.

### **1.2 Existing Conditions**

### 1.2.1 Substation

The proposed substation site is located approximately 1.0 kilometres southeast of County Road 13 and 400 metres southwest of Ashburn Road. The site consists of existing agricultural land (row crops) for its entirety. The site generally drains from the northwest to the southeast towards the existing Johnstone Municipal Drain and is generally flat at less than 0.5% grade. Refer to the following Figure 1.2.

Surficial native soils for the site generally consist of silty clay topsoil followed by silty clay. The Hydrologic Soil Group, according to the Soil Conservation Service Classification System, is "D".

### 1.2.2 Laydown Area

The proposed laydown area site is located adjacent to Forgues Road and approximately 350 metre northwest of Ashburn Road. The laydown area consists of existing agricultural lands (row crops) and some farm buildings. Drainage is split with a portion draining to the west and the remainder to the east. There are currently no surface drainage features in proximity to this site and stormwater generally drains to lower elevations where it ponds until eventual evaporation and infiltration. Refer to the following Figure 1.3.

Surficial native soils for the laydown area generally consist of silty clay topsoil followed by silty clay. The Hydrologic Soil Group, according to the Soil Conservation Service Classification System, is "D".



-2021 Nation Rise Wind Farm\Drawings\Drainage Areas\NR5-01-Drainage Areas dwg -



								DATE	SCALE 1:4000	Т
								12/18	DRAWN JMT	
									CHECKED	
									APPROVED	
ER.	DATE	MODIFICATION	PAGES MODIFIED	VER.	DATE	MODIFICATION	PAGES MODIFIED	Fo	rmat ANSI D	
	1	2 3 4 5	1	6	1	7   <u>                    10</u>	11		12	

		HOUTHL	CAUTION Interesting of the Plan Her Interesting of the Plan Her Incontention of the Plan Incontention of the Plan	^
-16	and the second second	(Hu	ISSUED FOR NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION DECEMBER 21, 2018	
- 1		~	Ø	в
	a not have			c
				D
$\downarrow$				E
		LEGEND INDEX CONTOUR (1.0m INTERVAL) FLOW DIRECTION DRAINAGE AREA SUBSTATION FENCE LINE SOUTH NATION CONSERVATION WATERCOURSE	70 1.0%	F
		PROPOSED ACCESS ROAD EXISTING TRANSMISSION LINE TOWE	;R	
				G
				-
	NATION RISE	E WIND FARM MONT, ONTARIO	TULLOCH	н
renewables	SUBSTATION EXIS	AGE PLAN	ENGINEERING Rev. 0 Page: XX of XX	

Proj. Nº: 18-2021 Doc. Nº:

Drawing №: FIG 1.2

15

16



60	
Areas	
-01-Drainage /	
sktop/NRS	
laylor\De	
dan	

VER. DATE

_										12/18	DRAWN JN	ΛT	4	
											CHECKED		edp	rene
											APPROVED		-	
	MODIFICATION		PAGES MODIFIED	VER.	DATE		MODIFICATION		PAGES MODIFIED	Fo	rmat ANSI D			
	2 3	4   5	l.	6	1	7		10	1 11	1	12		1	13





### 1.3 Proposed Conditions

### 1.3.1 Substation

The proposed substation site will consist of approximately 0.82 hectares of developed land out of the 20.63 hectare area that currently drains through the site. The developed area will be raised slightly above existing grades and consist of granular materials. Drainage will continue to flow overland similar to existing conditions and stormwater from the developed area will be graded towards the northeast. Refer to the following Figure 1.4. A hydrologic analysis is provided in the following sections of this report.

### 1.3.2 Laydown Area

The proposed laydown area site will consist of approximately 7.56 hectares of developed land. The developed area will be raised slightly above existing grades and consist of granular materials. Drainage will continue to flow overland similar to existing conditions and ponding areas will be modified as necessary to provide additional storage for increased post-development flows. Refer to the following Figure 1.5. A hydrologic analysis is provided in the following sections of this report.

### 1.4 Hydrologic Modelling

Hydrologic Modelling of the proposed development was conducted utilizing Visual OTTHYMO, version 3.0 software. The OTTHYMO model is an updated version of the original HYMO model developed by the United States Department of Agriculture in the early 1970's. HYMO and its updated versions, including OTTHYMO are in use throughout North America and the world for hydrologic modelling of rural and urban watersheds.

Rainfall inputs to the model consisted of a 1 hour Atmospheric Environment Service Type 2 rainfall distribution based on Intensity / Duration / Frequency (IDF) curves for The Township of North Stormont, Ontario. The IDF curves used in the analysis are shown as follows:

Duration	5-min	10-min	15-min	30-min	1-hr	2-hr	6-hr	12-hr	24-hr
<u>2-</u> yr	113.6	70.0	52.7	32.5	20.0	12.3	5.7	3.5	2.2
<u>5-</u> yr	151.1	93.1	70.1	43.2	26.6	16.4	7.6	4.7	2.9
<u>10-</u> yr	175.5	108.1	81.4	50.2	30.9	19.0	8.8	5.4	3.4
<u>25-</u> yr	206.2	127.0	95.7	58.9	36.3	22.4	10.4	6.4	3.9
<u>50-</u> yr	229.5	141.4	106.5	65.6	40.4	24.9	11.5	7.1	4.4
<u>100-</u> yr	252.2	155.3	117.0	72.1	44.4	27.4	12.7	7.8	4.8

 Table 1.1: MTO IDF Curves – Township of North Stormont – Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)



															DATE	SCALE 1:40	00	
															12/18	DRAWN	JMT	1
																CHECKED		9
																APPROVED		1
VER.	DATE				MODIFICATION	N		F	AGES MODIFIED	VER.	DATE		MODIFICATION	PAGES MODIFIED	Fc	ormat ANSI D		
	1	, L	2	1	3		4	5	1	6		7		1 11	i.	12		T

13	14	T	15	ť.	16	-
			TULIDOR	CAUTION Unemis sequent selection of the second of the seco	HIPLAN HIE EN HIE TO M HISTOLOGIA STRUCTION	А
1			- MH	L DECEMBER 21,	2018	в
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			°Q_		-
						с
						D
					2	
$\downarrow$	2.5					E
	×	LEGEND INDEX CONTOUR (1.0m INTERVAL FLOW DIRECTION			70 1.0%	
		DRAINAGE AREA SUBSTATION FEM SOUTH NATION WATERCOURSE PROPOSED ACCI EXISTING TRANS	NCE LINE CONSERVATION ESS ROAD MISSION LINE TOWE		*	F
4						
						G
renewables	NATION NORTH S SUBSTATION	RISE WIND	FARM ario NDITIONS	TUL		н
	DR/ Proj. Nº: 18-2021	Doc. Nº:		Rev. 0 Drawing №:	Page: XX of X FIG 1.4	(X
12	14		15		16	



01/31/19

DATE

ISSUED FOR PERMIT

MODIFICATION

1

PAGES MODIFIED

11

10

01/19 APPROVED CLK

17

Format ANSI D

A

PAGES MODIFIED VER.

VER.

DATE

1

MODIFICATION



renewables				TUL	Госн	
<b>P</b>	DR	AINAGE	PLAN	Rev. 1 Page: XX o		
	Proj. Nº: 18-2021	Doc. Nº:		Drawing Nº:	FIG 1.5	
13	14	1	15	•	16	



The Soil Conservation Service Curve Number Method was utilized to model infiltration on pervious areas. Areas exhibiting minimal levels of imperviousness were modelled utilizing the NASH Unit Hydrograph Procedure to calculate storm run-off from rainfall excess.

### 2. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

### 2.1 **Pre-Development Conditions**

2.1.1 Substation

Figure 1.2 indicates the pre-development conditions for the substation which was modelled as one (1) distinct catchment (100) as follows:

• Catchment 100 with a total drainage area of 20.63 hectares consisting of row crops;

The parameters utilized in the Visual OTTHYMO model for the drainage areas were as follows:

Parameter	Catchment 100
Drainage Area	20.63 ha
Design Rainfall	1 hr AES Type 2 Distribution
IDF Data	MTO Data for Twp of North Stormont
Soil Conservation Service Curve No. for Pervious Areas	CN = 89.0
Initial Abstraction	6.28 mm
Watershed Length	1000 m
Watershed Slope	0.75 %
Time to Peak	0.80 hr
NASH's Shape Parameter	3.0

### Table 2.1: Substation – Pre-Development Input Parameters

The model of the pre-development conditions produced peak flow estimates for the areas as follows:



Table	2.2:	Substation -	Pre-Development	Peak Flows
	An . An .	ousolution	i to Bovolopillolit	1 0011 10110

Return Period	Catchment 100
2 year	156 L/sec
5 year	299 L/sec
10 year	404 L/sec
25 year	548 L/sec
50 year	663 L/sec
100 year	781 L/sec

### 2.1.2 Laydown Area

Figure 1.3, in Appendix A, indicates the pre-development conditions for the laydown area which was modelled as two (2) distinct catchments (110 & 111) as follows:

- Catchment 110 with a total drainage area of 4.19 hectares consisting of row crops and some farm buildings; and
- Catchment 111 with a total drainage area of 3.37 hectares consisting of row crops.

The parameters utilized in the Visual OTTHYMO model for the drainage areas were as follows:

Parameter	Catchment 110	Catchment 111
Drainage Area	4.19 ha	3.37 ha
Design Rainfall	1 hr AES Type 2 Distribution	1 hr AES Type 2 Distribution
IDF Data	MTO Data for Twp of North Stormont	MTO Data for Twp of North Stormont
Soil Conservation Service Curve No. for Pervious Areas	CN = 89.06	CN = 89
Initial Abstraction	6.27 mm	6.28 mm
Watershed Length	150 m	100 m
Watershed Slope	1.5 %	0.5 %

 Table 2.3: Laydown Area – Pre-Development Input Parameters



Time to Peak	0.31 hr	0.36 hr
NASH's Shape	3.0	3.0

The model of the pre-development conditions produced peak flow estimates for the areas as follows:

Return Period	Catchment 110	Catchment 111	Combined
2 year	70 L/sec	50 L/sec	119 L/sec
5 year	133 L/sec	95 L/sec	228 L/sec
10 year	179 L/sec	129 L/sec	308 L/sec
25 year	242 L/sec	175 L/sec	418 L/sec
50 year	292 L/sec	212 L/sec	505 L/sec
100 year	344 L/sec	250 L/sec	593 L/sec

### Table 2.4: Laydown Area – Pre-Development Peak Flows

### 2.2 During Construction Conditions

Construction sequencing for the proposed development works at the substation, laydown, access roads and turbine sites will proceed in such a manner that aggregate will be placed immediately after stripping operations to minimize the amount of exposure to the underlying soils. As such, the during construction flows would be approximately equal to the post-development flows as the following section will outline. In addition to the construction sequencing, all site works will be in accordance with the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESC) and the Civil Construction Plans, which will provide the contractor with measures to control runoff in the event of rainfall during construction activities.

### 2.3 Post-Development Conditions

For the post-development conditions it was assumed that there will be minimal alterations to the existing site grading in order to accommodate the proposed works.

### 2.3.1 Substation

Figure 1.4 indicates the post-development conditions for the substation which was modelled as two (2) distinct catchments (200 and 201) as follows:



- Catchment 200 with a total drainage area of 19.81 hectares will consist of the undeveloped portion (row crops) of the substation area. Run-off from this catchment will continue to flow overland in an easterly direction; and,
- Catchment 201 with a total drainage area of 0.82 hectares will consist of the substation site (gravel surface).

Parameter	Catchment 200	Catchment 201
Drainage Area	19.81 ha	0.82 ha
Design Rainfall	1 hr AES Type 2 Distribution	1 hr AES Type 2 Distribution
IDF Data	MTO Data for Twp North Stormont	MTO Data for Twp of North Stormont
Soil Conservation Service Curve No. for Pervious Areas	CN = 89	CN = 91
Initial Abstraction	6.28 mm	5.02 mm
Watershed Length	900 m	100 m
Watershed Slope	0.75 %	0.2 %
Time to Peak	0.72 hr	0.14 hr
NASH's Shape Parameter	3.0	3.0

#### Table 2.5: Substation – Post-Development Input Parameters

The following table shows the estimated peak, uncontrolled, post-development flows from the drainage area:

Table 2.6: Substation – Post-Development Unattenuated Peak Flows

Return Period	Catchment 200	Catchment 201	Combined
2 year	165 L/sec	27 L/sec	166 L/sec
5 year	316 L/sec	50 L/sec	318 L/sec
10 year	428 L/sec	66 L/sec	430 L/sec
25 year	579 L/sec	87 L/sec	583 L/sec
50 year	701 L/sec	104 L/sec	707 L/sec
100 year	825 L/sec	121 L/sec	832 L/sec



### 2.3.2 Laydown Area

Figure 1.5 indicates the post-development conditions for the laydown area which was modelled as three (3) distinct catchments (210, 211 and 212) as follows:

- Catchment 210 with a total drainage area of 4.07 hectares will consist of the northern portion of the site (gravel surface and some farm buildings). Run-off from this catchment will flow overland similar to existing conditions and be collected in a modified ponding area along the northwest boundary of the site;
- Catchment 211 with a total drainage area of 3.0 hectares will consist of the southern portion of the site (gravel surface and some farm buildings). Run-off from this catchment will flow overland similar to existing conditions and be collected in a modified ponding area along the southwest boundary of the site; and,
- Catchment 212 with a total drainage area of 0.49 hectares will consist of the eastern corner of the site (gravel surface). Run-off from this catchment will flow overland similar to existing conditions to the east.

Parameter	Catchment 210	Catchment 211	Catchment 212
Drainage Area	4.07 ha	3.00 ha	0.49 ha
Design Rainfall	1 hr AES Type 2 Distribution	1 hr AES Type 2 Distribution	1 hr AES Type 2 Distribution
IDF Data	MTO Data for Twp of North Stormont	MTO Data for Twp of North Stormont	MTO Data for Twp of North Stormont
Soil Conservation Service Curve No. for Pervious Areas	CN = 91.03	CN = 91.02	CN = 91
Initial Abstraction	5.02 mm	5.02 mm	5.02 mm
Watershed Length	250 m	150 m	30 m
Watershed Slope	2.0 %	1.2 %	2.0 %
Time to Peak	0.35 hr	0.27 hr	0.083 hr
NASH's Shape Parameter	3.0	3.0	3.0

### Table 2.7: Laydown Area – Post-Development Input Parameters

The following table shows the estimated peak, unattenuated, post-development flows from the drainage area:



Return Period	Catchment 210	Catchment 211	Catchment 212	Combined
2 year	82 L/sec	73 L/sec	18 L/sec	160 L/sec
5 year	147 L/sec	130 L/sec	34 L/sec	285 L/sec
10 year	194 L/sec	171 L/sec	46 L/sec	376 L/sec
25 year	256 L/sec	226 L/sec	61 L/sec	498 L/sec
50 year	306 L/sec	269 L/sec	73 L/sec	594 L/sec
100 year	355 L/sec	313 L/sec	84 L/sec	691 L/sec

#### Table 2.8: Laydown Area – Post-Development Unattenuated Peak Flows

### 2.4 Results and Recommendations

### 2.4.1 Substation

The following Table 2.9, compares the pre-development peak flows to the post-development unattenuated peak flows for the substation site and indicates the percentage increase from pre-development conditions:

Return Period	Pre-Development Peak Flow	Post-Development Unattenuated Peak Flow	Percent Increase
Substation (All Catchments)			
2 year	156 L/sec	166 L/sec	6.4 %
5 year	299 L/sec	318 L/sec	6.4 %
10 year	404 L/sec	430 L/sec	6.4%
25 year	548 L/sec	583 L/sec	6.4 %
50 year	663 L/sec	707 L/sec	6.6 %
100 year	781 L/sec	832 L/sec	6.5 %

The results indicate a marginal increase in peak flows for all storm events ranging from 6.4 to 6.6%, as would be expected for a relatively small development within a large drainage area. The increase is considered to be minor and negligible as it isn't anticipated to have an adverse affect on any downstream properties.



### 2.4.2 Laydown Area

The following Table 2.10, compares the pre-development peak flows to the post-development unattenuated peak flows for the laydown area and indicates the percentage increase from pre-development conditions:

Table 2.10: Laydown Area - Summary of Pre-Development and Post-Development Peak Flows

Return Period	Pre-Development Peak Flow	Post-Development Unattenuated Peak Flow	Percent Increase
	Laydown Area (	All Catchments)	
2 year	119 L/sec	160 L/sec	34.5 %
5 year	228 L/sec	285 L/sec	25.0 %
10 year	308 L/sec	376 L/sec	22.1 %
25 year	418 L/sec	498 L/sec	19.1 %
50 year	505 L/sec	594 L/sec	17.6 %
100 year	593 L/sec	691 L/sec	16.5 %

The results indicate a moderate increase in peak flows for all storm events ranging from 16.5 to 34.5%, as would be expected by converting the entire drainage area from agricultural to gravel surface. As such it is recommended to construct ponding areas within the laydown area to temporarily store the additional stormwater generated from the development. These ponding areas are indicated on Figure 1.5

The design of the ponding areas was based on an iterative process in which different outlet conditions, ponding layouts, and quantity/storage relationships were analyzed.

Inflow hydrographs were generated in the Visual OTTHYMO model. A storage discharge relationship for the ponding areas was generated with the use of a spreadsheet and input into a reservoir routing function in Visual OTTHYMO. The inflow hydrographs were then connected to the reservoir in Visual OTTHYMO which performed a reservoir routing routine producing attenuated outflow hydrographs.

Outflow from the ponding areas will be controlled by overflow weirs for major storm events. Minor storm events will be controlled by infiltration and evaporation similar to pre-development conditions. Details of the ponding areas and weirs will be provided during the detailed design phase.



Inflow and outflow hydrographs for each area comparing pre-development peak flows to postdevelopment attenuated peak flows are provided in Appendix A for the 5, 25 and 100-Year Return Period Rainfalls.

The analysis results of the ponding areas including estimated storage volumes required are detailed in the following table:

Return	Maximum Ponding Depth		Storage Volume		Peak Outflow	
Period	Catchment 210	Catchment 211	Catchment 210	Catchment 211	Catchment 210	Catchment 211
2 year	0.24 m	0.25 m	225 m <sup>3</sup>	166 m <sup>3</sup>	*0.45 L/sec	*0.45 L/sec
5 year	0.32 m	0.32 m	301 m <sup>3</sup>	215 m <sup>3</sup>	72 L/sec	73 L/sec
10 year	0.34 m	0.34 m	320 m <sup>3</sup>	229 m <sup>3</sup>	134 L/sec	128 L/sec
25 year	0.36 m	0.36 m	342 m <sup>3</sup>	244 m <sup>3</sup>	207 L/sec	196 L/sec
50 year	0.38 m	0.37 m	357 m <sup>3</sup>	254 m <sup>3</sup>	264 L/sec	236 L/sec
100 year	0.40 m	0.39 m	374 m <sup>3</sup>	263 m³	317 L/sec	291 L/sec

#### Table 2.11: Laydown Area – Ponding Area Analysis Results

\*Infiltration only

The total, peak pre-development outflows from laydown area are compared to the peak postdevelopment attenuated outflows in the following table:

#### Table 2.12: Laydown Area – Summary of Pre-Development and Post-Development Peak Flows

Return Period	Peak Pre-Development Flow	Peak Post-Development Attenuated Flow	
	All Catchments	All Catchments	
2 year	119 L/sec	18 L/sec	
5 year	228 L/sec	122 L/sec	
10 year	308 L/sec	239 L/sec	



25 year	418 L/sec	382 L/sec
50 year	505 L/sec	477 L/sec
100 year	593 L/sec	592 L/sec

The above table indicates that post-development peak flows have been limited to predevelopment levels for events up to the 100 year storm.

As mentioned previously, the increase in post-development flows for the substation property are considered negligible and therefore quantity control of the stormwater isn't considered warranted for this location.

It should be further noted that the "during construction" flows would be approximately equal to the post-development flows based on construction sequencing and the additional impervious area resulting from the temporary construction site trailers will be minimal (approx. 1.0% of the overall catchment area) and thus have a negligible effect on the post development CN values and corresponding flows. Therefore additional stormwater quantity and/or quality controls are not considered warranted for the "during construction" flows.

### 2.4.3 Access Roads & Turbine Sites

It is understood that access roads will be required for construction and maintenance purposes post-construction to access the wind turbine locations, substation, and laydown area. The access roads will consist of gravel surface and have a general width of approximately 6 metres. Further, localized disturbances and gravel surfacing at the turbine sites will be required for assembly areas and/or crane pads. Based on the hydrologic modeling for the substation site, the conversion of agricultural land to gravel surface for the access roads and turbine sites is considered to have a negligible increase in post-development flows. This is due mainly to the nominal areas involved. Detailed modeling of these areas is therefore not considered warranted.

### 2.4.4 Quality Control

It is understood that the NRWF development will not consist of any paved surfaces which are generally the primary concern for negative stormwater quality impacts. As such, contaminant loadings are expected to be more comparable to pre-development conditions than what would be expected for paved type surfaces. Packed gravel surfaces will still promote some infiltration and limit the buildup of surface contaminants. Further, run-off from the gravel surfaces will generally be directed towards grassed ditching and/or existing agricultural land where further infiltration/evaporation and settling of any generated sediment will take place.

All disturbed areas adjacent to the access roads, substation and laydown area should be restored to there original vegetated conditions post-construction.



Therefore, quality control is not expected to be a major concern based on the lack of paving and promotion of infiltration through gravel surfaces and vegetated areas. No further quality control is considered warranted for the proposed development.

### 3. OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

The stormwater management features serving these developments are designed to require minimal maintenance. As a minimum, the following maintenance program is recommended:

- Twice per year inspections of the features to ensure that there are no problems with excess sedimentation buildup and/or drainage blocks and that the features are in good condition. Any materials collected within the features should be removed and disposed of in an appropriate manner. Any problems discovered should be repaired immediately; and,
- It is likely that, over time, some sediment will collect in the bottom of the ponding areas. This should be closely monitored and, if sediment deposits exceed a depth of approximately 0.05 metres it should be removed to re-establish the original storage/volume characteristics. This is particularly important during construction and prior to vegetation reestablishment in the Project area and the access road ditching system.

### 4. EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

Erosion and sediment control (ESC) measures will be implemented prior to commencement of any construction activities within the NRWF development including but not limited to vegetation clearing, topsoil stripping, grading and stockpiling of materials. Refer to the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan completed by TULLOCH Environmental under separate cover.

### 5. CONCLUSIONS

The following is a summary of the stormwater management plan for the proposed development.

- The substation area, access road and turbine site developments will have negligible impacts on post-development stormwater run-off and therefore quantity controls are not warranted;
- The laydown area will consist of ponding areas to limit post development flows to predevelopment levels;
- The "during construction" flows will be approximately equal to the post construction flows;
- Quality controls are not deemed necessary due to the lack of pavement and promotion of infiltration through gravel surfaces and vegetated areas; and,



• Temporary erosion and sediment control measures will be installed, monitored and maintained during construction activities and shall remain in place until the sites are stabilized through vegetation reestablishment.

### 6. REPORT LIMITATIONS AND GUIDELINES FOR USE

We have prepared this report for the exclusive use of EDP Renewables and their authorized agents for the proposed development. The report is only applicable to the project described herein. Any changes to the project require a review by TULLOCH to ensure compatibility with the stormwater management system as described in this report.

### 7. CLOSURE

We trust that the information and recommendations in this report will be found to be complete and adequate for your consideration. Should further elaboration be required for any portion of this Stormwater Management Plan, we would be pleased to provide assistance.



# **APPENDIX A**

## **Pre-Development and Post-Development Hydrographs**



### Substation - 2 Year Storm





#### Substation - 5 Year Storm





### Substation - 10 Year Storm





### Substation - 25 Year Storm





### Substation - 50 Year Storm







### Substation - 100 Year Storm





### Laydown Area - 2 Year Storm





### Laydown Area - 5 Year Storm





### Laydown Area - 10 Year Storm





### Laydown Area - 25 Year Storm





#### Laydown Area – 50 Year Storm





#### Laydown Area - 100 Year Storm





EDP Renewables Stormwater Management Plan Nation Rise Wind Farm

# **APPENDIX B**

# **Time of Concentration Calculations**

Required Inputs shown:			
Area Under Consideration:	Substation Pre-Development Condi	tions	
Airport Formula (mins.)	(3.26*(1.1-C)*(L^0.5))/(S	\$^0.33)	* For C<0.4, Airport drainage
Bransby-Williams Formula (mins):	(0.057*L)/((S^0.2)*(A^0.	1))	* For C>0.4; Underestimates for permeable basins
Kirpich Formula (mins):	0.0196*((L/((S/100)^0.5)	)^).77)	
C = Rational Method Runoff Coeffic L = Watershed Length (metres) = S = Watershed Slope (%) = A = Watershed Area (hectares) =	ient =	0.500 1000.0 0.750 20.63	

- H = Change in Height over Watershed (m) =
- CN = SCS Curve Number =
- L/W = Watershed Length to Width Ratio =

0.500	
1000.0	
0.750	
20.63	
7.5	
89	
0.5	

	Airport	Bransby Williams	Kirpich
Time of Concentration (mins.)	68.0	44.6	26.3
Time to Peak = 0.67 * Tc(mins.)	45.6	29.9	17.6
Time to Peak (hours)	0.76	0.50	0.29

<u>SCS Lag Time</u>	=	(L^0.8)*(((990.6/CN)-8.906)^0.7)/(735*(S^0.5))
	=	0.69 hrs

Watt & Chow	=	0.000326*((L/((S/100)^0.5))^0.79)
<u>Time to Peak</u>	=	0.53 hrs

HYMO Time to Peak for Rural Areas with Slope < 2%

 $= 0.0086^{*}(A^{0.422})^{*}(S^{-0.46})^{*}((L/W)^{0.133})$ =

0.27 hrs

HYMO Time to Peak for Rural Areas with Slope > 2%  $= 0.016^{(A^0.31)^{((S/100)^{-0.5})}$ =

0.47 hrs

Upland Method	K=V/(S^0.5)	Length	Slope	Velocity	Time
	(m/s)	(m)	(m/m)	(m/s)	(min.)
Forest with heavy ground litter, hay meadow	0.6			0.00	0.00
Trash fallow or minimum tillage cultivation	1.5			0.00	0.00
Short Grass Pasture	2.3			0.00	0.00
Cultivated, straight row	2.7	1000	0.0075	0.23	71.28
Nearly bare soil, untilled	3.0			0.00	0.00
Grassed Waterway (ditch)	4.6			0.00	0.00
Paved Areas (sheet flow); small upland gullies	6.1			0.00	0.00
Total Time of Concentration (minutes) =					71.28
Estimated Time to Peak (hours) =					0.80

Required Inputs shown:					
Area Under Consideration:	Substation Post-Development Conditions 200				
Airport Formula (mins.)	(3.26*(1.1-C)*(L^0.5))/(S	6^0.33)	* For C<0.4, Airport drainage		
Bransby-Williams Formula (mins):	(0.057*L)/((S^0.2)*(A^0.	1))	* For C>0.4; Underestimates for permeable basins		
Kirpich Formula (mins):	0.0196*((L/((S/100)^0.5)	)^).77)			
C = Rational Method Runoff Coeffic L = Watershed Length (metres) = S = Watershed Slope (%) = A = Watershed Area (hectares) = H = Change in Height over Watersh CN = SCS Curve Number = L/W = Watershed Length to Width F	tient = ned (m) = Ratio =	0.300 900.0 0.750 19.81 6.8 84 0.5			

	Airport	Bransby Williams	Kirpich
Time of Concentration (mins.)	86.0	40.3	24.3
Time to Peak = 0.67 * Tc(mins.)	57.6	27.0	16.3
Time to Peak (hours)	0.96	0.45	0.27

SCS Lag Time	=	(L^0.8)*(((990.6/CN)-8.906)^0.7)/(735*(S^0.5))
	=	0.76 hrs

Watt & Chow	=	0.000326*((L/((S/100)^0.5))^0.79)
<u>Time to Peak</u>	=	0.49 hrs

HYMO Time to Peak for Rural Areas with Slope < 2%

 $= 0.0086^{*}(A^{0.422})^{*}(S^{-0.46})^{*}((L/W)^{0.133})$ =

0.26 hrs

HYMO Time to Peak for Rural Areas with Slope > 2%  $= 0.016^{(A^{0.31})*((S/100)^{-0.5})}$ =

0.47 hrs

Upland Method	K=V/(S^0.5)	Length	Slope	Velocity	Time
	(m/s)	(m)	(m/m)	(m/s)	(min.)
Forest with heavy ground litter, hay meadow	0.6			0.00	0.00
Trash fallow or minimum tillage cultivation	1.5			0.00	0.00
Short Grass Pasture	2.3			0.00	0.00
Cultivated, straight row	2.7	900	0.0075	0.23	64.15
Nearly bare soil, untilled	3.0			0.00	0.00
Grassed Waterway (ditch)	4.6			0.00	0.00
Paved Areas (sheet flow); small upland gullies	6.1			0.00	0.00
Total Time of Concentration (minutes) =					
Estimated Time to Peak (hours) =					0.72

Required Inputs shown:			
Area Under Consideration:	Substation Post-Development Conc	ditions 201	
Airport Formula (mins.)	(3.26*(1.1-C)*(L^0.5))/(S	6^0.33)	* For C<0.4, Airport drainage
Bransby-Williams Formula (mins):	(0.057*L)/((S^0.2)*(A^0.	1))	* For C>0.4; Underestimates for permeable basins
Kirpich Formula (mins):	0.0196*((L/((S/100)^0.5)	)^).77)	
C = Rational Method Runoff Coeffic L = Watershed Length (metres) = S = Watershed Slope (%) = A = Watershed Area (hectares) = H = Change in Height over Watersh	tient = ned (m) =	0.300 100.0 0.200 0.82 7.5	

CN = SCS Curve Number =

L/W = Watershed Length to Width Ratio =

0.300	
100.0	
0.200	
0.82	
7.5	
91	
1	

	Airport	Bransby Williams	Kirpich
Time of Concentration (mins.)	44.4	8.0	7.4
Time to Peak = 0.67 * Tc(mins.)	29.7	5.4	5.0
Time to Peak (hours)	0.50	0.09	0.08

<u>SCS Lag Time</u> = (L^0.8)\*(((990.6/CN)-8.906)^0.7)/(735\*(S^0.5)) 0.20 hrs =

Watt & Chow	=	0.000326*((L/((S/100)^0.5))^0.79)
<u>Time to Peak</u>	=	0.14 hrs

HYMO Time to Peak for Rural Areas with Slope < 2%

 $= 0.0086^{*}(A^{0.422})^{*}(S^{-0.46})^{*}((L/W)^{0.133})$ =

0.14 hrs

HYMO Time to Peak for Rural Areas with Slope > 2%  $= 0.016^{(A^{0.31})*((S/100)^{-0.5})}$ =

0.34 hrs

Upland Method	K=V/(S^0.5)	Length	Slope	Velocity	Time	
	(m/s)	(m)	(m/m)	(m/s)	(min.)	
Forest with heavy ground litter, hay meadow	0.6			0.00	0.00	
Trash fallow or minimum tillage cultivation	1.5			0.00	0.00	
Short Grass Pasture	2.3			0.00	0.00	
Cultivated, straight row	2.7			0.00	0.00	
Nearly bare soil, untilled	3.0	100	0.0020	0.13	12.42	
Grassed Waterway (ditch)	4.6			0.00	0.00	
Paved Areas (sheet flow); small upland gullies	6.1			0.00	0.00	
Total Time of Concentration (minutes) =						
Estimated Time to Peak (hours) =					0.14	

Required Inputs shown:			
Area Under Consideration:	Laydown Pre-Development Condi	tions 110	
Airport Formula (mins.)	(3.26*(1.1-C)*(L^0.5))/(S	6^0.33)	* For C<0.4, Airport drainage
Bransby-Williams Formula (mins):	(0.057*L)/((S^0.2)*(A^0.	1))	* For C>0.4; Underestimates for permeable basins
Kirpich Formula (mins):	0.0196*((L/((S/100)^0.5)	)^).77)	
C = Rational Method Runoff Coeffic L = Watershed Length (metres) = S = Watershed Slope (%) = A = Watershed Area (hectares) = H = Change in Height over Watersh CN = SCS Curve Number =	sient = ned (m) =	0.500 150.0 1.500 4.19 2.3 89	

0.5

 $T_c = 1.7^*T_L$ 

	Airport	Bransby Williams	Kirpich
Time of Concentration (mins.)	21.0	6.8	4.7
Time to Peak = 0.67 * Tc(mins.)	14.0	4.6	3.1
Time to Peak (hours)	0.23	0.08	0.05

<u>SCS Lag Time</u> = (L^0.8)\*(((990.6/CN)-8.906)^0.7)/(735\*(S^0.5)) 0.11 hrs =

Watt & Chow	=	0.000326*((L/((S/100)^0.5))^0.79)
<u>Time to Peak</u>	=	0.09 hrs

L/W = Watershed Length to Width Ratio =

HYMO Time to Peak for Rural Areas with Slope < 2%

 $= 0.0086^{*}(A^{0.422})^{*}(S^{-0.46})^{*}((L/W)^{0.133})$ =

0.10 hrs

HYMO Time to Peak for Rural Areas with Slope > 2%  $= 0.016^{(A^{0.31})*((S/100)^{-0.5})}$ =

0.20 hrs

Upland Method	K=V/(S^0.5)	Length	Slope	Velocity	Time
	(m/s)	(m)	(m/m)	(m/s)	(min.)
Forest with heavy ground litter, hay meadow	0.6			0.00	0.00
Trash fallow or minimum tillage cultivation	1.5			0.00	0.00
Short Grass Pasture	2.3	150	0.0015	0.09	28.07
Cultivated, straight row	2.7			0.00	0.00
Nearly bare soil, untilled	3.0			0.00	0.00
Grassed Waterway (ditch)	4.6			0.00	0.00
Paved Areas (sheet flow); small upland gullies	6.1			0.00	0.00
Total Time of Concentration (minutes) =					
Estimated Time to Peak (hours) =					0.31

Required Inputs shown:			
Area Under Consideration:	Laydown Pre-Development Condi	tions 111	
Airport Formula (mins.)	(3.26*(1.1-C)*(L^0.5))/(S	6^0.33)	* For C<0.4, Airport drainage
Bransby-Williams Formula (mins):	(0.057*L)/((S^0.2)*(A^0.	1))	* For C>0.4; Underestimates for permeable basins
Kirpich Formula (mins):	0.0196*((L/((S/100)^0.5)	)^).77)	
C = Rational Method Runoff Coeffic L = Watershed Length (metres) = S = Watershed Slope (%) = A = Watershed Area (hectares) = H = Change in Height over Watersh	ient = ied (m) =	0.500 100.0 0.500 3.37 0.5	

89

0.5

 $T_c = 1.7^*T_L$ 

Bransby Airport Williams Kirpich Time of Concentration (mins.) 24.6 5.8 5.2 Time to Peak = 0.67 \* Tc (mins.) 16.5 3.9 3.5 Time to Peak (hours) 0.27 0.06 0.06

SCS Lag Time = (L^0.8)\*(((990.6/CN)-8.906)^0.7)/(735\*(S^0.5)) 0.13 hrs =

Watt & Chow	=	0.000326*((L/((S/100)^0.5))^0.79)
<u>Time to Peak</u>	=	0.10 hrs

CN = SCS Curve Number =

L/W = Watershed Length to Width Ratio =

HYMO Time to Peak for Rural Areas with Slope < 2%

 $= 0.0086^{*}(A^{0.422})^{*}(S^{-0.46})^{*}((L/W)^{0.133})$ =

0.15 hrs

HYMO Time to Peak for Rural Areas with Slope > 2%  $= 0.016^{(A^{0.31})((S/100)^{-0.5})}$ =

0.33 hrs

Upland Method	K=V/(S^0.5)	Length	Slope	Velocity	Time
	(m/s)	(m)	(m/m)	(m/s)	(min.)
Forest with heavy ground litter, hay meadow	0.6			0.00	0.00
Trash fallow or minimum tillage cultivation	1.5			0.00	0.00
Short Grass Pasture	2.3	100	0.0005	0.05	32.41
Cultivated, straight row	2.7			0.00	0.00
Nearly bare soil, untilled	3.0			0.00	0.00
Grassed Waterway (ditch)	4.6			0.00	0.00
Paved Areas (sheet flow); small upland gullies	6.1			0.00	0.00
Total Time of Concentration (minutes) =					
Estimated Time to Peak (hours) =					0.36

Required Inputs shown:				
Area Under Consideration:	Laydown Post-Development Conditions 21			
Airport Formula (mins.)	(3.26*(1.1-	C)*(L^0.5))/(S	\$^0.33)	* For C<0.4, Airport drainage
Bransby-Williams Formula (mins):	(0.057*L)/(	(S^0.2)*(A^0.	* For C>0.4; Underestimates for permeable basins	
Kirpich Formula (mins):	0.0196*((L/((S/100)^0.5))^).77)			
C = Rational Method Runoff Coeffic L = Watershed Length (metres) = S = Watershed Slope (%) = A = Watershed Area (hectares) = H = Change in Height over Watersh CN = SCS Curve Number = L/W = Watershed Length to Width F	ient = ed (m) = Ratio =		0.700 250.0 2.000 4.07 5.0 91.03 0.5	
	Airport	Bransby Williams	Kirpich	
Ti (0, , , , , , )	10.1	10.0	0.0	

	Airport	Bransby Williams	Kirpich
Time of Concentration (mins.)	16.4	10.8	6.2
Time to Peak = 0.67 * Tc(mins.)	11.0	7.2	4.2
Time to Peak (hours)	0.18	0.12	0.07

<u>SCS Lag Time</u> =  $(L^{0.8})^*(((990.6/CN)-8.906)^{0.7})/(735^*(S^{0.5}))$ 0.13 hrs =

Watt & Chow	= 0	0.000326*((L/((S/100)^0.5))^0.79)
<u>Time to Peak</u>	=	0.12 hrs

HYMO Time to Peak for Rural Areas with Slope < 2%

 $= 0.0086^{*}(A^{0.422})^{*}(S^{-0.46})^{*}((L/W)^{0.133})$ =

0.09 hrs

HYMO Time to Peak for Rural Areas with Slope > 2%  $= 0.016^{*}(A^{0.31})^{*}((S/100)^{-0.5})$ = 0.17 hrs

K=V/(S^0.5) Upland Method Length Slope Velocity Time (m/s) (m) (m/m) (m/s) (min.) Forest with heavy ground litter, hay meadow 0.6 0.00 0.00 Trash fallow or minimum tillage cultivation 1.5 0.00 0.00 Short Grass Pasture 2.3 0.00 0.00 Cultivated, straight row 2.7 0.00 0.00 Nearly bare soil, untilled 3.0 250 0.0020 0.13 31.06 Grassed Waterway (ditch) 4.6 0.00 0.00 Paved Areas (sheet flow); small upland gullies 6.1 0.00 0.00 Total Time of Concentration (minutes) = 31.06 Estimated Time to Peak (hours) = 0.35

Required Inputs shown:		
Area Under Consideration:	Laydown Post-Development Conditions 211	
Airport Formula (mins.)	(3.26*(1.1-C)*(L^0.5))/(S^0.33)	* For C<0.4, Airport drainage
Bransby-Williams Formula (mins):	(0.057*L)/((S^0.2)*(A^0.1))	* For C>0.4; Underestimates for permeable basins
Kirpich Formula (mins):	0.0196*((L/((S/100)^0.5))^).77)	
C = Rational Method Runoff Coeffic L = Watershed Length (metres) = S = Watershed Slope (%) = A = Watershed Area (hectares) = H = Change in Height over Watersh CN = SCS Curve Number = L/W = Watershed Length to Width F	tient = $0.700$ 150.0 $1.200$ 1.200 $3.00$ ned (m) = $1.8$ 91.02 $0.5$	

	Airport	Bransby Williams	Kirpich
Time of Concentration (mins.)	15.0	7.4	5.1
Time to Peak = 0.67 * Tc(mins.)	10.1	4.9	3.4
Time to Peak (hours)	0.17	0.08	0.06

<u>SCS Lag Time</u>	=	(L^0.8)*(((990.6/CN)-8.906)^0.7)/(735*(S^0.5))
	=	0.11 hrs

Watt & Chow	=	0.000326*((L/((S/100)^0.5))^0.79)
<u>Time to Peak</u>	=	0.10 hrs

HYMO Time to Peak for Rural Areas with Slope < 2%

 $= 0.0086^{*}(A^{0.422})^{*}(S^{-0.46})^{*}((L/W)^{0.133})$ =

0.10 hrs

HYMO Time to Peak for Rural Areas with Slope > 2%  $= 0.016^{(A^{0.31})*((S/100)^{-0.5})}$ =

0.21 hrs

Upland Method	K=V/(S^0.5)	Length	Slope	Velocity	Time
	(m/s)	(m)	(m/m)	(m/s)	(min.)
Forest with heavy ground litter, hay meadow	0.6			0.00	0.00
Trash fallow or minimum tillage cultivation	1.5			0.00	0.00
Short Grass Pasture	2.3			0.00	0.00
Cultivated, straight row	2.7			0.00	0.00
Nearly bare soil, untilled	3.0	150	0.0012	0.10	24.06
Grassed Waterway (ditch)	4.6			0.00	0.00
Paved Areas (sheet flow); small upland gullies	6.1			0.00	0.00
Total Time of Concentration (minutes) =					24.06
Estimated Time to Peak (hours) =					0.27

 $T_{c} = 1.7^{*}T_{L}$ 

Required Inputs shown:				
Area Under Consideration:	Laydown Post-Development Conditions 212			
Airport Formula (mins.)	(3.26*(1.1-C)*(L^0.5))/(S	6^0.33)	* For C<0.4, Airport drainage	
Bransby-Williams Formula (mins):	(0.057*L)/((S^0.2)*(A^0.	1))	* For C>0.4; Underestimates for permeable basins	
Kirpich Formula (mins):	0.0196*((L/((S/100)^0.5)	)^).77)	·	
C = Rational Method Runoff Coeffic L = Watershed Length (metres) = S = Watershed Slope (%) = A = Watershed Area (hectares) = H = Change in Height over Watersh CN = SCS Curve Number = L/W = Watershed Length to Width F	tient = ned (m) = Ratio =	0.700 30.0 2.000 0.49 0.6 91 0.5		

	Airport	Bransby Williams	Kirpich
Time of Concentration (mins.)	5.7	1.6	1.2
Time to Peak = 0.67 * Tc(mins.)	3.8	1.1	0.8
Time to Peak (hours)	0.06	0.02	0.01

<u>SCS Lag Time</u>	=	(L^0.8)*(((990.6/CN)-8.906)^0.7)/(735*(S^0.5))
	=	0.02 hrs

Watt & Chow	= 0.	000326*((L/((S/100)^0.5))^0.79)
<u>Time to Peak</u>	=	0.02 hrs

HYMO Time to Peak for Rural Areas with Slope < 2%

 $= 0.0086^{*}(A^{0.422})^{*}(S^{-0.46})^{*}((L/W)^{0.133})$ =

0.04 hrs

HYMO Time to Peak for Rural Areas with Slope > 2%  $= 0.016^{(A^{0.31})*((S/100)^{-0.5})}$ =

0.09 hrs

Upland Method	K=V/(S^0.5)	Length	Slope	Velocity	Time
	(m/s)	(m)	(m/m)	(m/s)	(min.)
Forest with heavy ground litter, hay meadow	0.6			0.00	0.00
Trash fallow or minimum tillage cultivation	1.5			0.00	0.00
Short Grass Pasture	2.3			0.00	0.00
Cultivated, straight row	2.7			0.00	0.00
Nearly bare soil, untilled	3.0	30	0.0020	0.13	3.73
Grassed Waterway (ditch)	4.6			0.00	0.00
Paved Areas (sheet flow); small upland gullies	6.1			0.00	0.00
Total Time of Concentration (minutes) =					3.73
Estimated Time to Peak (hours) =					0.04

 $T_{c} = 1.7^{*}T_{L}$