

NATION RISE WIND FARM

Consultation Report - Appendix D

Municipal Consultation Records

Appendix D-1: Municipal Consultation Forms

Appendix D-2: Municipal Correspondence

Appendix D-3: Municipal Questions and Answers



APPENDIX D-1: MUNICIPAL CONSULTATION FORMS

PART A – To be completed by the Applicant before submitting to Municipality or Local Authority
Section 1 – Project Description
1.1 – Renewable Energy Project

Project Name (Project identifier to be used as a reference in correspondence)

Nation Rise Wind Farm

Project Location

 Same as Applicant Physical Address ? Yes No (if no, please provide site address information below)

Civic Address – Street information (includes street number, name, type and direction)

Unit Number

Street Number

Street Name

City/Town

Province

Postal Code

Telephone Number

Fax Number

Email Address (if available)

Survey Address (Not required if the Civic Address is provided)

Lot/Part

Concession/Reference Plan

Municipality/Unorganized Township

North Stormont

County/District

Province/State

Country

Postal Code

Stormont/Dundas/Glengarry

Ontario

Canada

Non Address Information (where the project spans many locations or a large rural area, specify how the project area relates to the address provided)

The Project is located in the western portion of North Stormont and bounded to the south by South Stormont, the west by North Dundas, the north by Russell and the Nation, and the east by Courville Rd and Macmillan Rd.

Geo Reference (Southwest corner of property)

Map Datum

Zone

Accuracy Estimate

NAD83

18

Geo Referencing Method

UTM Easting

UTM Northing

483970

5008222

Project Phasing (outline construction, operation and decommissioning activities)

Please refer to section 3.2 of the Draft Project Description Report.

1.2 – Environmental Context

Describe negative environmental effects that may result from engaging in the project (consider construction, operation and decommissioning activities).

Please refer to section 6 of the Design and Operations Report (DOR)

Propose early avoidance/prevention/mitigation concepts and measures.

Mitigation measures are contained within the Natural Heritage Assessment, Water Body Assessment, Water Body Study, Noise Impact Assessment, Heritage Impact Assessment, and Stage 1 and Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment reports. Please also see section 6 of the Design and Operations Report (DOR).

1.3 – Renewable Energy Generation Facility

Type of Facility/Operation (select all that apply & complete all appropriate sections)

- | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wind (Land Based) | <input type="checkbox"/> Biofuel |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wind (Off-Shore) | <input type="checkbox"/> Solar Photovoltaic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Biogas | <input type="checkbox"/> Others(describe) _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Thermal Treatment | <input type="checkbox"/> Class (if applicable) _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Anaerobic Digestion | |

Name Plate Capacity 100 MW	Expected Generation Approx. 100 MW	Service Area IESO Zone East	Total Area of Site (hectares) 8974
-------------------------------	---------------------------------------	--------------------------------	---------------------------------------

Provide a description of the facilities equipment or technology that will be used to convert the renewable energy source or any other energy source to electricity

Wind turbine generators of the Project will convert wind energy into electricity to feed into the Ontario IESO transmission system. Please refer to section 3.1 of the Draft Project Description Report for further detail.

1.4 – Renewable Energy Generation Activities

Describe the activities that will be engaged in as part of the renewable energy project

Please refer to section 3.2 of the Draft Project Description Report.

Section 2 – Supporting Documents

2.1 – Required Documents Distributed for Consultation

Requirement	Name of Draft Document	Date (yyyy/mm/dd)
DRAFT Project Description Report	Project Description Report (Draft), Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/22
DRAFT Design and Operations Report	Design and Operations Report (Draft), Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/22
DRAFT Construction Plan Report	Design and Operations Report (Draft), Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/22
DRAFT Decommissioning Plan Report	Design and Operations Report (Draft), Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/22

If applicable, as outlined in O. Reg. 359/09

DRAFT Archaeological Assessment	Stage 1&2 Archaeological Assessment, Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/22
DRAFT Heritage Assessment	Cultural Heritage Assessment (Draft), Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/22
DRAFT Natural Heritage Assessment	Draft Natural Heritage Assessment Reports, Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/22
DRAFT Water Assessment	Draft Water Body Assessment Reports, Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/22
DRAFT Effluent Management Plan Report	Not applicable	
DRAFT Emission Summary and Dispersion Modeling Report	Not applicable	
Dispersion Modeling Report	Not applicable	
DRAFT Hydrogeological Assessment Report	To be completed at a later date if deemed necessary.	

Requirement	Name of Draft Document	Date (yyyy/mm/dd)
DRAFT Noise Study Report	Renewable Energy Approval - Noise Impact Assessment, Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/15
DRAFT Odour Study Report	Not applicable	
DRAFT Surface Water Assessment Report	Not applicable	
DRAFT Specifications Report	Specifications Report, Wind Facility (Not Class 2), Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/22
DRAFT Off-shore Wind Report	Not applicable	

2.2 – Cross Reference to Part B Section 5 – Municipal Consultation Requirements

Requirement	Name(s) of Draft Document(s) and Page Numbers where Relevant Information can be Found
Infrastructure and Servicing	To be provided at a later date in consultation with local authorities.
Road Access	Section 3.1.3 - Draft Project Description Report.
Traffic Management Plans	To be provided at a later date in consultation with local authorities.
Municipal Service Connections (other than roads)	To be provided at a later date in consultation with local authorities.
Landscaping Design	To be provided at a later date in consultation with local authorities.
Emergency Management Procedures / Safety Protocols	Section 7 of the Design and Operations Report
Easements or Restrictive Covenants	To be provided at a later date in consultation with local authorities.
Temporary Disturbance Areas / Municipal Infrastructure that could be Damaged During Construction	To be provided at a later date.
Fire Hydrants and Connections to Existing Drainage, Water Works and Sanitary Sewers	To be provided at a later date in consultation with local authorities.
Buried Kiosks and Above-Grade Utility Vaults	To be provided at a later date in consultation with local authorities and utilities.
Building Code Permits and Licenses	To be provided at a later date in consultation with local authorities.
Natural Features and Water Bodies	Section 3.3.2 and 3.3.3, Appendix E and Appendix F of the Design and Operations Report.
Archaeological Resource or Heritage Resource	Section 3.3.1, Appendix C and Appendix D of the Design and Operations Report.

2.3 – Location where written draft reports can be obtained for public inspection

Identify the location where written draft reports can be obtained for public inspection (physical location for viewing and the applicants project website if one is available)

A minimum of 60 days in advance of the final public meeting at the offices of the Township of North Stormont and the United Counties of Stormont, Dundas and Glengarry. Nation Rise Wind Farm website: <http://nationrisewindfarm.com>.

Section 3 – Applicant Address and Contact Information

3.1 – Applicant Information (Owner of project/facility)

Applicant Name (legal name of individual or organization as evidenced by legal documents)

Nation Rise Wind Farm LP

Business Identification Number

Business Name (the name under which the entity is operating or trading - also referred to as trade name)

Same as Applicant Name

Civic Address

Unit Number 110	Street Number	Street Name (Include type and direction) Spadina Ave, Suite 609	Province ON – Ontario	Postal Code M5V 2K4
City/Town Toronto				

Survey Address (Not required if the Civic Address is provided)

Lot/Part	Concession/Reference Plan	Municipality/Unorganized Township		
County/District	Province/State	Country	Postal Code	

Non Address Information (where the project spans many locations or a large rural area, specify how the project area relates to the address provided)

PART B – To be completed by the Municipality or local authority**Section 4 – Municipality or Local Authority Contact Information****4.1 – Municipal or local authority Information (complete one of the following sections)**Local Municipality / Unorganized Township Yes No

Name of Municipality

Township of North Stormont

Address

Unit Number	Street Number 15	Street Name (Include type and direction) Rue Union St.	PO Box 99
City/Town Berwick			Province ON – Ontario
Postal Code K0C 1G0			
Telephone Number (incl. area code) 613 984-2821	ext.	Fax Number (incl. area code) 613 984-2908	Mobile Number (incl. area code)

Clerk

Last Name Chenier	First Name Marc	Middle Initial
Telephone Number (incl. area code) 613 984-2821	Email Address mchenier@northstormont.ca	
Signature 	Date (yyyy/mm/dd) 2017/07/10	

Upper Tier Municipality Yes No

Name of Municipality

Address

Unit Number	Street Number	Street Name (Include type and direction)	PO Box
City/Town			Province
Postal Code			
Telephone Number (incl. area code)	ext.	Fax Number (incl. area code)	Mobile Number (incl. area code)

Clerk

Last Name	First Name	Middle Initial
Telephone Number (incl. area code)	Email Address	
Signature	Date (yyyy/mm/dd)	

Local Roads Area Yes No

Name of Local Roads Board

Address

Unit Number	Street Number	Street Name (Include type and direction)	PO Box
City/Town		Province	Postal Code
Telephone Number (incl. area code) ext.		Fax Number (incl. area code)	Mobile Number (incl. area code)

Secretary-Treasurer

Last Name	First Name	Middle Initial
Telephone Number (incl. area code)	Email Address	
Signature	Date (yyyy/mm/dd)	

Local Service Board area Yes No

Name of Local Service Board

Address

Unit Number	Street Number	Street Name (Include type and direction)	PO Box
City/Town		Province	Postal Code
Telephone Number (incl. area code) ext.		Fax Number (incl. area code)	Mobile Number (incl. area code)

Secretary

Last Name	First Name	Middle Initial
Telephone Number (incl. area code)	Email Address	
Signature	Date (yyyy/mm/dd)	

Section 5 – Consultation Requirements

See Part A Section 2.2 to cross reference to locations in Draft Reports where information on specific Consultation Requirements can be found

5.1 – Project Location

Provide comment on the project location with respect to infrastructure and servicing.

Questions can be found in the attachment at the following locations: Cover Letter - Page 2 - Para. 3 & #3; Appendix B - CPR - 3.1.2, 3.1.4, 3.1.5; Appendix B - DOR - Page 22, Item #41

5.2 – Project Roads

Provide comment on the proposed project's plans respecting proposed road access.

Questions can be found in the attachment at the following locations: Cover Letter - Page 2 - #4; Cover Letter - Page 3 - #6; Appendix B - Construction Plan Report - 3.1.5; Appendix B - DOR - 4.3, 4.4

Identify any issues and provide recommendations with respect to road access.

Questions can be found in the attachment at the following locations: Appendix B - DOR - Page 22

Provide comment on any proposed Traffic Management Plans.

Questions can be found in the attachment at the following locations: Cover Letter - Page 2 - #5; Appendix A - Item #15; Appendix B - CPR - 3.1.5, 4.4; Appendix B - DOR - 4.4, Page 22

Identify any issues and provide recommendations with respect to the proposed Traffic Management Plans.

5.3 – Municipal or Local Authority Service Connections

Provide comment on the proposed project plans related to the location of and type of municipal service connections, other than roads. Questions can be found in the attachment at the following locations: Cover Letter - Page 2 - Para. 4; Appendix A - Item #2, Item #20; Appendix B - Construction Plan Report - 3.1.3, 3.1.4.2, 4.3, 4.7; Appendix B - DOR - 4

Identify any issues and provide recommendations with respect to the type of municipal service connections, other than roads. Questions can be found in the attachment at the following locations: Appendix A - Item #1, Item #14; Appendix B - Conceptual Stormwater, Erosion and Sediment Management Plan - 4.1; Appendix B - DOR - 4.5.1, Page 19

5.4 – Facility Other

Identify any issues and recommendations with respect to the proposed landscaping design for the facility.

Provide comment on the proposed project plans for emergency management procedures / safety protocols. Questions can be found in the attachment at the following locations: Appendix B - DOR - 7

Identify any issues and recommendations with respect to the proposed emergency management procedures / safety protocols. Questions can be found in the attachment referring to #19 in Appendix A

Identify any issues and recommendations with respect to any Easements or Restrictive Covenants associated with the Project Location.

5.5 – Project Construction

Identify any issues and recommendations with respect to the:

- proposed rehabilitation of any temporary disturbance areas and any municipal or local authority infrastructure that could be damaged during construction
- proposed location of fire hydrants and connections to existing drainage, water works and sanitary sewers
- proposed location of buried kiosks and above-grade utility vaults
- proposed location of existing and proposed gas and electricity lines and connections
- Building Code permits and licenses
- identification of any significant natural features and water bodies within the municipality or territory.
- identification any archaeological resource or heritage resource
- aerodromes and / or airports

Questions can be found in the attachment at the following locations: Cover Letter - Page 1 - First bullet, second bullet - Page 2, #1; Appendix A - Item #2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11; Appendix B - Construction Plan Report - 3.15, 4.8, 4.11; Appendix B - DOR - Page 22, 7



**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
AND PLANNING SERVICES**

26 Pitt Street, Suite 223, Cornwall, Ontario K6J 3P2

Tel: 613-932-1515 • Fax: 613-936-2913 • Email info@sdgcounties.ca • www.sdgcounties.ca

EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.
c/ o Mr. Kenneth Little
110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609
Toronto, ON
M5V 2K4

July 10, 2017

Email Only: Ken.Little@edpr.com

Re: Nation Rise Wind Farm, Municipal Consultation Forms

Further to my voice message this morning, please find attached the following:

- A signed copy of the *Renewable Energy Approval Municipal / Local Authority Consultation Form*;
- The detailed Municipal review that was completed by Morrison Hershfield on behalf of the Township of North Stormont and United Counties of SDG. This detailed review includes the following:
 - o A cover letter identifying main information gaps and recommendations;
 - o A review of the REA submission (Appendix A);
 - o Detailed comments with respect to the REA reports (Appendix B).

Trusting this is sufficient to incorporate into EDP's REA submission. Feel free to contact the County if you have any questions.

Mr. Benjamin de Haan, P.Eng
Director of Transportation and Planning
United Counties of SDG

cc Ms. A. Martin, Township of North Stormont (amymartin@northstormont.ca)

PART A – To be completed by the Applicant before submitting to Municipality or Local Authority
Section 1 – Project Description
1.1 – Renewable Energy Project

Project Name (Project identifier to be used as a reference in correspondence)

Nation Rise Wind Farm

Project Location

 Same as Applicant Physical Address ? Yes No (if no, please provide site address information below)

Civic Address – Street information (includes street number, name, type and direction)

Unit Number	Street Number	Street Name
City/Town		Province
		Postal Code
Telephone Number	Fax Number	Email Address (if available)

Survey Address (Not required if the Civic Address is provided)

Lot/Part	Concession/Reference Plan	Municipality/Unorganized Township
		North Stormont
County/District	Province/State	Country
Stormont/Dundas/Glengarry	Ontario	Canada
		Postal Code

Non Address Information (where the project spans many locations or a large rural area, specify how the project area relates to the address provided)

The Project is located in the western portion of North Stormont and bounded to the south by South Stormont, the west by North Dundas, the north by Russell and the Nation, and the east by Courville Rd and Macmillan Rd.
Geo Reference (Southwest corner of property)

Map Datum	Zone	Accuracy Estimate
NAD83	18	
Geo Referencing Method	UTM Easting	UTM Northing
	483970	5008222

Project Phasing (outline construction, operation and decommissioning activities)

Please refer to section 3.2 of the Draft Project Description Report.
1.2 – Environmental Context

Describe negative environmental effects that may result from engaging in the project (consider construction, operation and decommissioning activities).

Please refer to section 6 of the Design and Operations Report (DOR)

Propose early avoidance/prevention/mitigation concepts and measures.

Mitigation measures are contained within the Natural Heritage Assessment, Water Body Assessment, Water Body Study, Noise Impact Assessment, Heritage Impact Assessment, and Stage 1 and Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment reports. Please also see section 6 of the Design and Operations Report (DOR).

1.3 – Renewable Energy Generation Facility

Type of Facility/Operation (select all that apply & complete all appropriate sections)

- | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wind (Land Based) | <input type="checkbox"/> Biofuel |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wind (Off-Shore) | <input type="checkbox"/> Solar Photovoltaic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Biogas | <input type="checkbox"/> Others(describe) _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Thermal Treatment | <input type="checkbox"/> Class (if applicable) _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Anaerobic Digestion | |

Name Plate Capacity 100 MW	Expected Generation Approx. 100 MW	Service Area IESO Zone East	Total Area of Site (hectares) 8974
--------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------

Provide a description of the facilities equipment or technology that will be used to convert the renewable energy source or any other energy source to electricity

Wind turbine generators of the Project will convert wind energy into electricity to feed into the Ontario IESO transmission system. Please refer to section 3.1 of the Draft Project Description Report for further detail.

1.4 – Renewable Energy Generation Activities

Describe the activities that will be engaged in as part of the renewable energy project

Please refer to section 3.2 of the Draft Project Description Report.

Section 2 – Supporting Documents

2.1 – Required Documents Distributed for Consultation

Requirement	Name of Draft Document	Date (yyyy/mm/dd)
DRAFT Project Description Report	Project Description Report (Draft), Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/22
DRAFT Design and Operations Report	Design and Operations Report (Draft), Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/22
DRAFT Construction Plan Report	Design and Operations Report (Draft), Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/22
DRAFT Decommissioning Plan Report	Design and Operations Report (Draft), Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/22

If applicable, as outlined in O. Reg. 359/09

DRAFT Archaeological Assessment	Stage 1&2 Archaeological Assessment, Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/22
DRAFT Heritage Assessment	Cultural Heritage Assessment (Draft), Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/22
DRAFT Natural Heritage Assessment	Draft Natural Heritage Assessment Reports, Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/22
DRAFT Water Assessment	Draft Water Body Assessment Reports, Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/22
DRAFT Effluent Management Plan Report	Not applicable	
DRAFT Emission Summary and Dispersion Modeling Report	Not applicable	
Dispersion Modeling Report	Not applicable	
DRAFT Hydrogeological Assessment Report	To be completed at a later date if deemed necessary.	

Requirement	Name of Draft Document	Date (yyyy/mm/dd)
DRAFT Noise Study Report	Renewable Energy Approval - Noise Impact Assessment, Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/15
DRAFT Odour Study Report	Not applicable	
DRAFT Surface Water Assessment Report	Not applicable	
DRAFT Specifications Report	Specifications Report, Wind Facility (Not Class 2), Nation Rise Wind Farm	2017/03/22
DRAFT Off-shore Wind Report	Not applicable	

2.2 – Cross Reference to Part B Section 5 – Municipal Consultation Requirements

Requirement	Name(s) of Draft Document(s) and Page Numbers where Relevant Information can be Found
Infrastructure and Servicing	To be provided at a later date in consultation with local authorities.
Road Access	Section 3.1.3 - Draft Project Description Report.
Traffic Management Plans	To be provided at a later date in consultation with local authorities.
Municipal Service Connections (other than roads)	To be provided at a later date in consultation with local authorities.
Landscaping Design	To be provided at a later date in consultation with local authorities.
Emergency Management Procedures / Safety Protocols	Section 7 of the Design and Operations Report
Easements or Restrictive Covenants	To be provided at a later date in consultation with local authorities.
Temporary Disturbance Areas / Municipal Infrastructure that could be Damaged During Construction	To be provided at a later date.
Fire Hydrants and Connections to Existing Drainage, Water Works and Sanitary Sewers	To be provided at a later date in consultation with local authorities.
Buried Kiosks and Above-Grade Utility Vaults	To be provided at a later date in consultation with local authorities and utilities.
Building Code Permits and Licenses	To be provided at a later date in consultation with local authorities.
Natural Features and Water Bodies	Section 3.3.2 and 3.3.3, Appendix E and Appendix F of the Design and Operations Report.
Archaeological Resource or Heritage Resource	Section 3.3.1, Appendix C and Appendix D of the Design and Operations Report.

2.3 – Location where written draft reports can be obtained for public inspection

Identify the location where written draft reports can be obtained for public inspection (physical location for viewing and the applicants project website if one is available)

A minimum of 60 days in advance of the final public meeting at the offices of the Township of North Stormont and the United Counties of Stormont, Dundas and Glengarry. Nation Rise Wind Farm website: <http://nationrisewindfarm.com>.

Section 3 – Applicant Address and Contact Information

3.1 – Applicant Information (Owner of project/facility)

Applicant Name (legal name of individual or organization as evidenced by legal documents)

Nation Rise Wind Farm LP

Business Identification Number

Business Name (the name under which the entity is operating or trading - also referred to as trade name)

Same as Applicant Name

Civic Address

Unit Number 110	Street Number	Street Name (Include type and direction) Spadina Ave, Suite 609	Province ON – Ontario	Postal Code M5V 2K4
City/Town Toronto				

Survey Address (Not required if the Civic Address is provided)

Lot/Part	Concession/Reference Plan	Municipality/Unorganized Township		
County/District	Province/State	Country	Postal Code	

Non Address Information (where the project spans many locations or a large rural area, specify how the project area relates to the address provided)

PART B – To be completed by the Municipality or local authority**Section 4 – Municipality or Local Authority Contact Information****4.1 – Municipal or local authority Information (complete one of the following sections)****Local Municipality / Unorganized Township** Yes No

Name of Municipality

Address

Unit Number	Street Number	Street Name (Include type and direction)	PO Box
City/Town		Province	Postal Code
Telephone Number (incl. area code) ext.		Fax Number (incl. area code)	Mobile Number (incl. area code)

Clerk

Last Name	First Name	Middle Initial
Telephone Number (incl. area code)	Email Address	
Signature	Date (yyyy/mm/dd)	

Upper Tier Municipality Yes No

Name of Municipality

Corporation of the United Counties of Stormont, Dundas and Glengarry**Address**

Unit Number 26	Street Number	Street Name (Include type and direction) Pitt Street	PO Box
City/Town Cornwall		Province ON – Ontario	Postal Code K6J 3P2
Telephone Number (incl. area code) 613 932-1515 ext.		Fax Number (incl. area code)	Mobile Number (incl. area code)

Clerk

Last Name Thomson	First Name Helen	Middle Initial
Telephone Number (incl. area code) 613 932-1515	Email Address hthomson@sdgcounties.ca	
Signature <i>Helen Thomson</i>	Date (yyyy/mm/dd) 2017/07/10	

Local Roads Area Yes No

Name of Local Roads Board

Address

Unit Number	Street Number	Street Name (Include type and direction)	PO Box
City/Town		Province	Postal Code
Telephone Number (incl. area code) ext.		Fax Number (incl. area code)	Mobile Number (incl. area code)

Secretary-Treasurer

Last Name	First Name	Middle Initial
Telephone Number (incl. area code)	Email Address	
Signature	Date (yyyy/mm/dd)	

Local Service Board area Yes No

Name of Local Service Board

Address

Unit Number	Street Number	Street Name (Include type and direction)	PO Box
City/Town		Province	Postal Code
Telephone Number (incl. area code) ext.		Fax Number (incl. area code)	Mobile Number (incl. area code)

Secretary

Last Name	First Name	Middle Initial
Telephone Number (incl. area code)	Email Address	
Signature	Date (yyyy/mm/dd)	

Section 5 – Consultation Requirements

See Part A Section 2.2 to cross reference to locations in Draft Reports where information on specific Consultation Requirements can be found

5.1 – Project Location

Provide comment on the project location with respect to infrastructure and servicing.

Comments can be found in the attachment at the following locations: Cover Letter - Page 2 - Para. 3 & #3; Appendix B - CPR - 3.1.2, 3.1.4, 3.1.5; Appendix B - DOR - Page 22, Item #41

5.2 – Project Roads

Provide comment on the proposed project's plans respecting proposed road access.

Comments can be found in the attachment at the following locations: Cover Letter - Page 2 - #4; Cover Letter - Page 3 - #6; Appendix B - Construction Plan Report - 3.1.5; Appendix B - DOR - 4.3, 4.4

Identify any issues and provide recommendations with respect to road access.

Issues identified and noted in the attachment at the following location: Appendix B - DOR - Page 22

Provide comment on any proposed Traffic Management Plans.

Comments can be found in the attachment at the following locations: Cover Letter - Page 2 - #5; Appendix A - Item #15; Appendix B - CPR - 3.1.5, 4.4; Appendix B - DOR - 4.4, Page 22

Identify any issues and provide recommendations with respect to the proposed Traffic Management Plans.

5.3 – Municipal or Local Authority Service Connections

Provide comment on the proposed project plans related to the location of and type of municipal service connections, other than roads.

Comments can be found in the attachment at the following locations: Cover Letter - Page 2 - Para. 4; Appendix A - Item #2, Item #20; Appendix B - Construction Plan Report - 3.1.3, 3.1.4.2, 4.3, 4.7; Appendix B - DOR - 4

Identify any issues and provide recommendations with respect to the type of municipal service connections, other than roads.

Issues and recommendations are noted in the attachment at the following locations: Appendix A - Item #1, Item #14; Appendix B - Conceptual Stormwater, Erosion and Sediment Management Plan - 4.1; Appendix B - DOR - 4.5.1, Page 19

5.4 – Facility Other

Identify any issues and recommendations with respect to the proposed landscaping design for the facility.

Provide comment on the proposed project plans for emergency management procedures / safety protocols.

Comments can be found in the attachment at the following locations: Appendix B - DOR - 7

Identify any issues and recommendations with respect to the proposed emergency management procedures / safety protocols.

Identify any issues and recommendations with respect to any Easements or Restrictive Covenants associated with the Project Location.

5.5 – Project Construction

Identify any issues and recommendations with respect to the:

- proposed rehabilitation of any temporary disturbance areas and any municipal or local authority infrastructure that could be damaged during construction
- proposed location of fire hydrants and connections to existing drainage, water works and sanitary sewers
- proposed location of buried kiosks and above-grade utility vaults
- proposed location of existing and proposed gas and electricity lines and connections
- Building Code permits and licenses
- identification of any significant natural features and water bodies within the municipality or territory.
- identification any archaeological resource or heritage resource
- aerodromes and / or airports

Comments can be found in the attachment at the following locations: Cover Letter - Page 1 - First bullet, second bullet - Page 2, #1; Appendix A - Item #2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11; Appendix B - Construction Plan Report - 3.15, 4.8, 4.11; Appendix B - DOR - Page 22, 7

As noted in the attached cover letter, the United Counties and the Township of North Stormont are jointly providing comments with respect to this project.

With respect to buried infrastructure: Once the project is decommissioned, all buried cabling within the right of way must be removed completely.

With respect to natural features: the County has been experiencing forest cover loss in recent years, and, this project should consider how to maintain and/or increase forest cover within and around the project area. Planting and/or enhancing trees can provide visual buffering, landscaping, habitat, environmental compensation and generally improve the overall forest cover in SDG.



MORRISON HERSHFIELD

June 19, 2017 - DRAFT

File: 2170100.01

Township of North Stormont
PO Box 99, 15 Union Street
Berwick, Ontario
K0C 1G0

Attention: Amy Doyle, M.Pl
Community Planner

**Re: Nation Rise Wind Farm Project
Review of Draft Renewable Energy Approval Submission**

The Township of North Stormont has requested Morrison Hershfield Ltd. to review the Draft Renewable Energy Approval Submission (March 2017) provided to them by EDP Renewables for the Nation Rise Wind Farm as a component of the 90 day municipal review process.

As per MH proposal, a general completeness review of the Project Description Report, Construction Plan Report, Design and Operations Report, Decommissioning Report and all associated appendices (comprising the Draft Renewable Energy Approval submission) and supporting Environmental Studies has been completed.

The methodology used to carry out this task consisted of:

- a) Creating a checklist for a complete Renewable Energy Approvals (REA) application based on the Ontario Government Technical Guide to Renewable Energy Approvals. This checklist was completed by MH and can be found in Appendix A of this letter. Note that for this initial general review not all technical aspects of the Submission have been reviewed. In some cases additional specialist review may be required.
- b) Reading the Project Description Report, Construction Plan Report, Design and Operations Report, Decommissioning Report to identify the effects the project could have on municipal interests and infrastructure including roads, bridges and culverts from an engineering perspective. This review can be found in Appendix B of this letter.

Through this review, the following main information gaps were identified:

- No review has been completed for potential impacts of the project on potable water sources. While potential impacts to groundwater resources have been reviewed from a biophysical perspective, no review has been completed to assess the potential impacts to groundwater resources from a potable water quantity and quality perspective.
- No review has been completed for potential impacts of the project on prime agricultural lands (Class 1-3 agricultural soils);

- Confirmation letter from the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport regarding completeness of archaeological and cultural heritage assessments has not been received for the project as described in clauses 22 (a) and 23 (3) (a) of Ontario Regulation 359/09;
- Confirmation letter from the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry regarding completeness of natural heritage assessment and birds and bats EEMP has not been received for the project as described in clauses 28 (3) (b) and (c) of Ontario Regulation 359/09;
- Significant details are missing on the project description (e.g. location and type of permanent meteorological towers & location of the 2-3 proposed staging areas of 2-7 hectares each); and
- No detailed review has been completed to assess potential effects of the project construction on municipal infrastructure.

Recommendations to the Township

Following the initial review that has been completed by MH, we recommend that the Municipality makes the following requests to EDP Renewable:

1. that a groundwater impact assessment be completed by a qualified hydrogeologist to confirm the project proposed dewatering activities would not cause significant adverse effects to groundwater resources from a potable water quantity and quality perspective;
2. that an agricultural impact assessment be completed by a qualified agronomist to confirm the project proposed staging, construction and decommissioning activities would not cause significant adverse effects to prime agricultural lands (Class 1-3 agricultural soils);
3. that a complete detailed project description be provided to the municipality as soon as possible in order to be able to complete its assessment of the potential impacts of the project on municipal infrastructures; and
4. that the proponent is required to enter into a Road Use Agreement and obtain permits for the use of Township and United Counties roads for (1) the use of roads for the transportation of heavy construction equipment, (2) the temporary widening or modifications to existing roadways for the construction or operation of the facility, and (3) the construction of new access roads joining existing municipal roadways.
5. that a Traffic Management Plan is required to define the transportation routes, timing of closures, and the required road modifications, and how the road modifications will be restored to the original condition. A Communication Plan should be provided to address how this information will be relayed to residents, the traveling public, student transportation services and other stakeholders.

6. that the Township and United Counties will require a pre-construction/decommissioning and post-construction/decommissioning road condition assessment consistent with industry standards for assessment of flexible pavement condition, and will be required to return roadways to the pre-construction condition.

We would also like to identify that potential visual impacts associated with windfarm projects are not being considered as part of the REA process. Therefore, should the municipality feel this issue is a concern, a request would have to be made for a separate visual impact assessment by a qualified landscape architect as part of its ongoing discussions with EDP Renewables.

Yours truly,
Morrison Hershfield Limited

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Eric Emery".

Eric Emery, P.Eng., MBA

cc. Marc Chenier, CAO Township of North Stormont



Appendix A - Checklist for a complete REA application

Item	Y/N/U	Comments	Actions
<p>1. Does the Project Description report include all information referenced in Chapter 4, Section 2 of the Technical Guide?</p>	N	<p>Information is presented but details are missing regarding description of the permissions that are required to access the land not owned by the proponent and whether they have been obtained (e.g. Public road allowances and Electrical collector lines).</p>	<p>Proponent to provide</p>
<p>2. Does the Construction Plan Report include all information referenced in Chapter 5, Section 2-5 of the Technical Guide?</p>	N	<p>The negative environmental effects assessment is missing an assessment of the required construction dewatering activities impacts on the groundwater quantity and quality from a human health perspective; i.e. impacts to wells and potable water source. Potential impacts to Prime Agricultural Land are also neither described nor addressed in this report.</p>	<p>Proponent to provide missing information & Municipality to Confirm the need for a traffic management plan for the construction phase and roads condition surveys prior and post-construction to address impacts to local roads</p>
<p>3. Does the Design and Operations Report include all information referenced in Chapter 6, Section 10 of the Technical Guide?</p>	N	<p>i) Site Plan Maps presented in Appendix A do not have a colour/demarcation scheme that allows all plan features to be observed and delineated clearly. ii) Groundwater well locations not specified on site plans. iii) Complete archaeological and cultural heritage assessments reports and confirmation letter from the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport are not included in the report. iv) Confirmation letter from the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry regarding completeness of natural heritage assessment and birds and bats EEMP has not been received for the project v) Location and type of permanent meteorological towers is not provided vi) Location of the temporary construction staging areas for the purpose of staging and storing equipment during the construction phase is unknown (up to 3 location of 2-7 hectares on privately owned lands) vii) Monitoring of birds impacts during construction is not consistent with guidance provided on Environment and Climate Change Canada's Incidental Take website viii) Environmental contingency plan is lacking details (e.g. groundwater discharge, surface water quality) ix) Assessment of potential impacts to potable water through groundwater wells has not been completed</p>	<p>Proponent to modify the colour/demarcation scheme to allow all plan features to be observed and delineated clearly and to provide missing information.</p>

Item	Y/N/U	Comments	Actions
<p>4. Does the Decommissioning Plan Report include all information referenced in Chapter 7, Section 3 of the Technical Guide?</p>	<p>N</p>	<p>The negative environmental effects assessment is missing an assessment of the required construction dewatering activities impacts on the groundwater quantity and quality from a human health perspective; i.e. impacts to wells and potable water source. Potential impacts to Prime Agricultural Land are also not described or addressed in this report.</p>	<p>Proponent to provide missing information & Municipality to confirm the need for a traffic management plan for the construction phase and roads condition surveys prior and post-construction to address impacts to local roads</p>
<p>5. Does the Project meet the setback requirements as described in Chapter 3 of the Technical Guide?</p>	<p>N</p>	<p>i) Property line setbacks not met - If a Property Line Setback and EIS report is prepared, then the Proponent is allowed to locate a turbine closer to a property line/significant environmental feature provided that they demonstrate no significant adverse effects will occur. Assuming the Property Setback & EIS reports fulfills the requirements, then the project complies.</p> <p>ii) Significant Wildlife Habitat Setback not met- See Environmental Impact Study report</p>	
<p>6. Does the Project contain as an appendix, an Archaeological Assessment Report?</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p>The report content wasn't reviewed by MH</p> <p>It should be noted that the Proponent has not provided the municipality the opportunity to review MTCS's written comments as described under clause 22 (a) of Ontario Regulation 359/09.</p>	<p>Proponent to provide MTCS Confirmation Letter</p>
<p>7. Does the Project contain as an appendix, an Environmental Impact Study Report?</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p>An Environmental Impact Study Report is provided which assesses the impacts of all significant natural features within 120 m of the project location, as defined by the Technical Guide to Renewable Energy Approvals (MOE 2013). The significance of the natural features was determined in the Evaluation of</p>	<p>Proponent to provide MNR Confirmation Letter</p>

Item	Y/N/U	Comments	Actions
		<p>Significance Report, which was completed generally in accordance with the NHA Guide for Renewable Energy Projects (MNR 2012). The assessment of potential negative effects is thorough and the mitigation measures outlined in this report appear to be sufficient to protect those features deemed significant. However some project details are not yet provided which prevents a more complete review.</p> <p>It should be noted that the Proponent has not provided the municipality an opportunity to review MNR's written comments as described under clause 37 (2) (b) and (c) of Ontario Regulation 359/09</p>	
<p>8. Does the Project contain as an appendix, a Heritage Assessment Report?</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p>The report content wasn't reviewed by MH</p> <p>It should be noted that the Proponent has not provided the municipality an opportunity to review MNR's written comments as described under clause 23 (3) (a) of Ontario Regulation 359/09</p>	<p>Proponent to provide MTCS Confirmation Letter</p>
<p>9. Does the Project contain as an appendix, a Natural Heritage Assessment Report?</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p>The Natural Heritage Assessment Report is comprehensive and complies with the requirements of O.Reg. 359/09. It includes all of the required components, including a Records Review Report, a Site Investigations Report, an Evaluation of Significance Report and an Environmental Impact Study Report. It is noted that some site investigations were conducted in December and January, which is not ideal, and prevents a thorough evaluation of the community. It is also noted that some sites were not visited due to denied access, however an air photo interpretation was conducted. While the Natural Heritage Assessment Report provides a relatively thorough assessment of the natural features within the study area, some project details are not yet provided which prevents a more complete review.</p> <p>It should be noted that the Proponent has not provided the municipality an opportunity to review MNR's written comments as described under clause 28 (3) (b) and (c) of Ontario Regulation 359/09</p> <p>What (if any) Endangered Species Act (ESA) requirements are there for this project? i.e. Is the Proponent required to obtain a permit/authorization? The NHA and EIS reports do not include any discussion</p>	<p>Proponent to provide MNR Confirmation Letter</p>

Item	Y/N/U	Comments	Actions
		of Endangered and Threatened Species or the requirement for an ESA authorization; we note that this information may be in a separate report at MNRF's request for confidentiality. Could the Proponent please provide this report or details on the ESA authorization to the municipality for review?	
10. Does the Project contain as an appendix, a Noise Study Report?	Y	The report content wasn't reviewed by MH	Should the municipality feels there is public/residents' concerns regarding noise, a noise specialist will need to be hired to provide comments on this study report.
11. Does the Project contain as an appendix, a Property Line Setback Written Assessment?	Y	The detailed report content wasn't reviewed by MH. As noted above property line setbacks not met. If a Property Line Setback and EIS report is prepared, then the Proponent is allowed to locate a turbine closer to a property line/significant environmental feature provided that they demonstrate no significant adverse effects will occur. Assuming the Property Setback & EIS reports fulfills the requirements, then the project complies.	
12. Does the Project contain as an appendix, a Water Body Assessment Report?	Y	The Water Body Assessment Report complies with the requirements of O.Reg. 359/09 and includes an assessment of all water bodies within 120 m and Lake Trout lakes within 300 m of the project location, as defined by the Technical Guide to Renewable Energy Approvals (MOE 2013).	
13. Does the Project contain as an appendix, a Specifications Report, Wind Facility (Not Class 2)?	Y	The report content wasn't reviewed by MH	
14. Does the Project Design interfere with any proposed municipal projects or infrastructure?	Y	The project will involve the modification of Township and County Roadways. Water and sewer works, as well as bridges may be affected by cable routing and heavy equipment transportation	Proponent is to identify and assess specific encroachments and impacts and provide detailed design measures to mitigate impacts on municipal infrastructure

Item	Y/N/U	Comments	Actions
<p>15. Does the Project require any road sharing agreements, upgrades, or does the project conceptual design raise any concerns for the use of municipal infrastructure such as roads</p>	Y	<p>The project will involve the use of existing roadways for the transportation of wind turbine components to the site, as well as the required modification of existing roadways to accommodate the required construction vehicles. The construction period will involve the temporary closure of roads to allow for the transportation convoys of turbine tower components to the various turbine sites.</p>	<p>The proponent is required to enter into a Road Use Agreement and obtain permits for the use of Township and United Counties roads for (1) the use of roads for the transportation of heavy construction equipment, (2) the temporary widening or modifications to existing roadways for the construction or operation of the facility, and (3) the construction of new access roads joining existing municipal roadways. A Traffic Management Plan is also required to define the transportation routes, timing of closures, and the required road modifications, and how the road modifications will be restored to the original condition. The roadways will be subject to rapid deterioration during construction traffic and loading. The Township and United Counties will require a pre-construction and post-construction road condition assessment consistent with industry standards for assessment of flexible pavement condition, and will be required to return roadways to the pre-construction condition</p>
<p>16. Do the Appended technical studies meet the goals of the Township with regards to development and environmental stewardship</p>	U	<p>Review of the project compliance with development and environmental stewardship goals of the municipality was not part of MH's mandate.</p>	<p>Should the municipality have concerns about how the appended studies meeting the municipality's development and stewardship goals they will have to be identified to EDP Renewables.</p>
<p>17. Does the ongoing consultation meet the needs/expectations of the municipality?</p>	U		<p>Should the municipality has further needs/expectations regarding consultation (including post REA approval) that will have to be clearly identified to EDP Renewables.</p> <p>It should be noted that as a Condition of Approval, MOECC has been known to include the requirement for the establishment of a Community Liaison Committee within three (3) months of receiving approval. The purpose of the committee is largely to facilitate two way communication between the Proponent and the public with respect to issues relating to construction, installation, use, operation, maintenance and retirement of the facility. If the municipality sees a benefit in this requirement for municipal staff and local residents it should be requested.</p>
<p>18. Does the proposed construction plan pose problems for any other construction activities, events or projects occurring in the municipality?</p>	Y	<p>There are no known planned municipal construction projects within the project areas. If there are planned projects, it will be necessary for the Township to defer projects within the project area during the construction period to avoid conflict and Health and Safety concerns.</p>	<p>Township will need to confirm the capital works program and advise if any works are planned within the project area, and if any works need to be deferred until the completion of the construction</p>

Item	Y/N/U	Comments	Actions
<p>19. Do the emergency management plans meet the Municipalities expectations?</p>	<p>N</p>	<p>No specific and detailed emergency management plan has been provided.</p>	<p>The municipality will need to review this information with their emergencies services. The current EMP for the Township will need to be updated with the EMP from the proponent.</p>
<p>20. Are there any issues and concerns with the proposed site layout?</p>	<p>N</p>	<p>The only concern for the site layout is the anticipated impact and proximity to municipal drains and watercourses. Can the project make modifications to minimize impacts to existing municipal drains and watercourses? The siting of the turbines does exceed the minimum distance of the length of the turbine blade plus 10m.</p>	<p>Proponent is to advise as to the measures undertaken to minimize impact to drainage and to confirm set-backs from municipal right of ways</p>



Appendix B –Engineering review Project Description Report, Construction Plan Report, Design and Operations Report, Decommissioning Report

Construction Plan Report	
Report Section	Comment
General	The report seems to be generic and not site specific. Additional comments will arise once details are available. The Township requires an opportunity to comment on the detailed design once this is available.
3.1.2	3 - 140m high Meteorological towers are to be constructed supported by guy wires and mounted on a concrete pad. Site grading details, access and building permit information is required for approval by the Township.
3.1.3	Access roads to be constructed 20m wide for construction and 5 – 6m wide for maintenance and operations phase. This results in significant impacts to drainage during construction. What specific measures will be provided to manage stormwater and avoid negatively impacting agricultural drainage?
3.1.4	What is the extent of underground cabling versus overhead cables for collection of electrical energy? What condition justifies the use of underground cabling? For example, underground cabling is planned for the connection of Turbines 20 and 18 to the proposed substation
3.1.4.2	Sub-station and switch yard covers 4 to 7 hectares and will be situated next to each other. Has this location been determined? What services are required for the site? The Township will need to review the details of this facility including access, grading, stormwater management, servicing, and landscape design.
3.1.5	Three construction lay down areas are required, each 2 to 7 hectares in size. Where are these facilities? In addition, each turbine will require a 3 hectare construction staging area.
	Off-road crane paths will require total removal and restoration. These areas need to be delineated. The crane path intersections do not appear to have sufficient turning radii on the plans.
	Can equipment be washed off-site, or waste material generated from the washing removed from the sites? The report seems to indicate that waste materials such as concrete will remain on the site. This may have long-term impacts to agricultural use

	The 136m towers will be constructed in 6 to 7 sections. What is the maximum length of each section to enable transportation to each turbine site? Sections will be 20 to 30m in length.
4.7	The routing of underground cable network needs to be defined and reviewed to avoid conflicts with existing and future, planned infrastructure and utilities. Networks must avoid going through village areas.
4.8	Gravel parking areas will generate dust to surrounding areas. How will this be mitigated?
4.3	Distinction needs to be made between permanent and temporary culvert installations as well as culverts crossing municipal drains. Do the addition of new culverts remain the responsibility of the proponent or are they transferred to the property owners through the Municipal Drainage Act? In some cases the drainage ditches may have to be shifted in alignment to minimize culvert requirements.
4.4	Maximum length of trucks and corresponding turning radius needs to be defined.
4.11	How will the extent of the clean-up of agricultural lands be determined and ensured to be complete. What recourse do residents have if the clean-up has not been completed to anticipated standards? Will the proponent catalogue the existing condition of adjacent lands to ensure lands are returned to pre-construction condition? Remaining stockpiles must be removed.
	Construction start in fall of 2018 and will last 16 months – completion in Spring of 2020. When will the design be completed for review and what process will be followed?
	Cable crossings of watercourses needs to be defined. Will cables be installed under river beds?
Appendix B Conceptual Stormwater, Erosion and Sediment Management Plan	
	Project intersects 39 of the 63 waterbodies located within the project area
4.1	We are concerned that drainage channels serving access roads will increase drainage efficiency and flows to downstream. The Township requires assurances that peak flows and volumes will be managed, especially during construction when roads are expected to be 20m wide
4.1	Where will underdrains below turbine discharge to? How will this system affect tile drainage systems in the fields

	<p>Will specific County or Township road drainage systems be affected by increased runoff and peak flows? Access roads are being constructed in areas where drainage direction may be perpendicular to the road. Drainage patterns may be altered by the construction of the access road resulting in more runoff being directed to road side ditches</p>
	<p>A runoff coefficient of 0.55 seems to be too high for agricultural land. How was this determined? This value, if overestimated, may result in underestimating the post-construction or operational increase in runoff that needs to be managed</p>

Design and Operations Report	
Report Section	Comment
4.4	<p>The report mentions that additional turning radii may be required for Crane access during operations. Where, specifically would these permanent additional turning radii be required, and what is the minimum turning radii?</p>
4.4	<p>Approximately how many County and Township roads and intersections will require temporary widened turning radii? This section needs to outline the locations, timing, and design of the turning radii. The Township is also concerned that the construction of the wider turning radii be restricted to construction traffic only to prevent higher speed use by local traffic during the construction period.</p>
4.3	<p>When will the locations and layouts of the substation, switchyards, laydown areas, and meteorological towers be determined and brought for review to the Township? The Township has concerns about servicing, traffic impacts, access and amenities associated with these installations. The Township will require an opportunity to provide servicing requirements related to these specific site developments.</p>
4.4	<p>Restoration details are required for the transition from the initial 20m width to 5-6m in width. This potentially impacts private and Township infrastructure such as ditches, roads, and utilities. In addition, measures to stockpile and conserve top-soil within the affected lands need to be implemented.</p>
4	<p>The report does not mention the proximity of the project elements to Township underground installations such as sanitary sewers, forcemains and watermains. Can the proponent outline how the project will potentially impact this infrastructure and what mitigation measures will be taken in the design?</p>

4.5.1	Electrical collector lines need to be located such that they do not conflict with existing and planned infrastructure. Overhead distribution is preferred to underground installation except within the Village areas.
Page 19	The Township may not have a municipal storm sewer by-law to stipulate water quality requirements. In this case the applicant must meet the Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Environmental water quality guidelines, or Environmental Compliance Approval requirements if applicable,
Page 22	The Township and the United Counties will require an overall Traffic Management Plan for the construction phase. The Township and the Counties require an opportunity to review and comment on the TMP before it is finalized. A detailed construction schedule along with delivery times/routes and temporary closures will need to be outlined as part of the TMP. Emergency services will need to comment and receive notifications on the planned closures. Due to the large size of the tower structures, trial or test deliveries may be required to ensure that the routes can accommodate the deliveries.
Page 22	Both the Township and the United Counties will require a complete roads condition survey prior to construction and post-construction. The proponent will need to apply the latest industry standard for the assessment of pavement condition applicable to the Province of Ontario. Condition assessment (CCTV reports) of any storm and sanitary sewers under proposed transportation routes will also need to be conducted.
	Building permits will be required for all structures associated with the project
	Municipal drains will be affected by the construction of access roads and/or widening of existing roads. The proponent is responsible to conduct the necessary drainage studies to support changes or alterations to drainage channels and crossings, or new crossings – under the Drainage Act.
7	Are there any expectations from the local emergency services in terms of dealing with fires or other emergencies associated with the Wind Farm installations? For example, fires or explosions on the towers and at the sub-station or switch yard.
7	Are there any special provisions during construction? For example the project area is very large. It is conceivable that the Wind Farm contractor will be defined as the Constructor and will take responsibility for all health and safety during construction. Any other contractors working in the

	project area will need to follow the H&S procedures defined by the Constructor.
41	<p>Three (3) active petroleum wells or facilities have been identified within 75 metres of the project location. A copy of the Engineer's Report prepared as required under the Approval and Permitting Requirements Document for Renewable Energy Projects (APRD) should be provided for the Municipality's review to confirm the location of the wells and sufficiency of the analysis to allow for the 75 metre setback to be reduced.</p> <p>Can the Proponent confirm this report has been submitted to the MNRF for review?</p>

C:\USERS\EMERY\DOCUMENTS\WIND FARM\MH NATIONRISEREVIEW06192017_FINAL DRAFT.DOCX L:\PROJ\2170100\2170100-01-WIND POWER\NATION RISE
WIND POWER\DELIVERABLES\FINAL\MH NATIONRISEREVIEW06162017_BEEDITS-APH-QC.DOCX



APPENDIX D-2: MUNICIPAL CORRESPONDENCE



November 2nd, 2016

Marc Chenier, CAO/Clerk
Township of North Stormont
15 Union Street, P.O. Box 99
Berwick, ON, K0C 1G0

Dear Mr. Chenier,

The Nation Rise Wind Farm Limited Partnership (the "Proponent") is currently preparing its First Public Meeting in accordance with the Renewable Energy Approval (REA) Regulations of the Ministry of Environment & Climate Change (*Ontario Regulation 359/09*). As part of *O. Reg. 359/09*, the Notice of Public Meeting must be distributed at least 30 days before the first public meeting. Moreover, the Notice of Public Meeting and Draft Project Description Report must be provided to the clerk of every local and upper-tier municipality in which the project is located, as well as published in buildings frequented by the local community such as municipal or county office buildings.

We are seeking your assistance to make available at the front desk (or a different location, as appropriate) one hard copy of the Notice of Public Meeting and Draft Project Description Report for public review.

Please note that the Municipal Consultation Form is for municipal review and consultation and is not intended to be made public. We are providing another copy of the Draft Project Description Report and Municipal Consultation Form for your convenience in addition to the copies sent to you in September. Note that these two documents have not changed since that time.

Your assistance is very much appreciated. Please contact the EDP Renewables Canada staff with any further questions you might have.

Sincerely,

Ken Little
Project Manager

Nathan Roscoe
Project Developer



November 2nd, 2016

Helen Thomson, Director of Council Services/Clerk
United Counties Stormont, Dundas and Glengarry
26 Pitt Street
Cornwall, ON, K6J 3P2

Dear Miss Thomson,

The Nation Rise Wind Farm Limited Partnership (the "Proponent") is currently preparing its First Public Meeting in accordance with the Renewable Energy Approval (REA) Regulations of the Ministry of Environment & Climate Change (*Ontario Regulation 359/09*). As part of *O. Reg. 359/09*, the Notice of Public Meeting must be distributed at least 30 days before the first public meeting. Moreover, the Notice of Public Meeting and Draft Project Description Report must be provided to the clerk of every local and upper-tier municipality in which the project is located, as well as published in buildings frequented by the local community such as municipal or county office buildings.

We are seeking your assistance to make available at the front desk (or a different location, as appropriate) one hard copy of the Notice of Public Meeting and Draft Project Description Report for public review.

Please note that the Municipal Consultation Form is for municipal review and consultation and is not intended to be made public. We are providing another copy of the Draft Project Description Report and Municipal Consultation Form for your convenience in addition to the copies sent to you in September. Note that these two documents have not changed since that time.

Your assistance is very much appreciated. Please contact the EDP Renewables Canada staff with any further questions you might have.

Sincerely,

Ken Little
Project Manager

Nathan Roscoe
Project Developer



November 2nd, 2016

T. J. Simpson, Chief Administrative Officer
United Counties Stormont, Dundas and Glengarry
26 Pitt Street
Cornwall, ON, K6J 3P2

Dear Mr. Simpson,

The Nation Rise Wind Farm Limited Partnership (the "Proponent") is currently preparing its First Public Meeting in accordance with the Renewable Energy Approval (REA) Regulations of the Ministry of Environment & Climate Change (*Ontario Regulation 359/09*). As part of *O. Reg. 359/09*, the Notice of Public Meeting must be distributed at least 30 days before the first public meeting. Moreover, the Notice of Public Meeting and Draft Project Description Report must be provided to the clerk of every local and upper-tier municipality in which the project is located, as well as published in buildings frequented by the local community such as municipal or county office buildings.

We are seeking your assistance to make available at the front desk (or a different location, as appropriate) one hard copy of the Notice of Public Meeting and Draft Project Description Report for public review.

Please note that the Municipal Consultation Form is for municipal review and consultation and is not intended to be made public. We are providing another copy of the Draft Project Description Report and Municipal Consultation Form for your convenience in addition to the copies sent to you in September. Note that these two documents have not changed since that time.

Your assistance is very much appreciated. Please contact the EDP Renewables Canada staff with any further questions you might have.

Sincerely,

Ken Little
Project Manager

Nathan Roscoe
Project Developer



Marc Chenier
Clerk, Township of North Stormont
15 Union Street, P.O. Box 99
Berwick, ON
K0C 1G0

March 23, 2017

Re: Nation Rise Wind Farm

Dear Mr. Chenier,

On behalf of the Nation Rise Wind Farm, we are writing to advise you that you are receiving the enclosed reports as the Clerk of the Township of North Stormont in accordance with the requirements of Ontario Regulation 359/09.

This initiates the 90 day municipal consultation period under Ontario Regulation 359/09. If you require additional copies of these reports, they can be made available upon request. Please note these reports are only for the review of the employees of the Township of North Stormont. The public review process will commence in approximately 30 days at which time you will receive an additional set of reports that can be made available for public inspection. We would be pleased to meet with you to discuss the enclosed reports and any comments you may have. Please note that as this is a formal requirement of the process, EDP Renewables Canada Ltd. will continue to consult with you directly on matters of municipal interest as we have done so to date.

Sincerely,

Ken Little
Project Manager
(416) 728-0213

Nathan Roscoe
Project Developer
(647) 204-1043



Blake Henderson
Public Works Manager, Township of North Stormont
15 Union Street, P.O. Box 99
Berwick, ON
K0C 1G0

March 23, 2017

Re: Nation Rise Wind Farm

Dear Mr. Henderson,

On behalf of the Nation Rise Wind Farm, we are writing to advise you that you are receiving the enclosed reports as the Public Works Manager of the Township of North Stormont in accordance with the requirements of Ontario Regulation 359/09.

This initiates the 90 day municipal consultation period under Ontario Regulation 359/09. If you require additional copies of these reports, they can be made available upon request. Please note these reports are for the review of the employees of the Township of North Stormont only. The public review process will commence in approximately 30 days. We would be pleased to meet with you to discuss the enclosed reports and any comments you may have. Please note that as this is a formal requirement of the process, EDP Renewables Canada Ltd. will continue to consult with you directly on matters of municipal interest as we have done so to date.

Sincerely,

Ken Little
Project Manager
(416) 728-0213

Nathan Roscoe
Project Developer
(647) 204-1043



Amy Martin
Economic Development Officer, Township of North Stormont
15 Union Street, P.O. Box 99
Berwick, ON
K0C 1G0

March 23, 2017

Re: Nation Rise Wind Farm

Dear Mrs. Martin,

On behalf of the Nation Rise Wind Farm, we are writing to advise you that you are receiving the enclosed reports as the Economic Development Officer of the Township of North Stormont in accordance with the requirements of Ontario Regulation 359/09.

This initiates the 90 day municipal consultation period under Ontario Regulation 359/09. If you require additional copies of these reports, they can be made available upon request. Please note these reports are only for the review of the employees of the Township of North Stormont. The public review process will commence in approximately 30 days at which time you will receive an additional set of reports that can be made available for public inspection. We would be pleased to meet with you to discuss the enclosed reports and any comments you may have. Please note that as this is a formal requirement of the process, EDP Renewables Canada Ltd. will continue to consult with you directly on matters of municipal interest as we have done so to date.

Sincerely,

Ken Little
Project Manager
(416) 728-0213

Nathan Roscoe
Project Developer
(647) 204-1043



Helen Thomson
Director of Council Services/Clerk
United Counties of Stormont, Dundas and Glengarry
26 Pitt Street
Cornwall, ON
K6K 3P2

March 23, 2017

Re: Nation Rise Wind Farm

Dear Mrs. Thomson,

On behalf of the Nation Rise Wind Farm, we are writing to advise you that you are receiving the enclosed reports as the Director of Council Services/Clerk of the United Counties of Stormont, Dundas and Glengarry in accordance with the requirements of Ontario Regulation 359/09.

This initiates the 90 day municipal consultation period under Ontario Regulation 359/09. If you require additional copies of the reports, they can be made available upon request. Please note these reports are for the review of the employees of the United Counties of Stormont, Dundas and Glengarry only. The public review process will commence in approximately 30 days at which time you will receive an additional set of reports that can be made available for public inspection. We would be pleased to meet with you to discuss the enclosed reports and any comments you may have. Please note that this is a formal requirement of the process, EDP Renewables Canada Inc. will continue to consult with you directly on matters of municipal interest as we have done so to date.

Sincerely,

Ken Little
Project Manager
(416) 728-0213

Nathan Roscoe
Project Developer
(647) 204-1043



Benjamin de Haan
Director of Transportation and Planning Services
United Counties of Stormont, Dundas and Glengarry
26 Pitt Street
Cornwall, ON
K6J 3P2

March 23, 2017

Re: Nation Rise Wind Farm

Dear Mr. de Haan,

On behalf of the Nation Rise Wind Farm, we are writing to advise you that you are receiving the enclosed reports as the Director of Transportation and Planning Services of the United Counties of Stormont, Dundas and Glengarry, in accordance with the requirements of Ontario Regulation 359/09.

This initiates the 90 day municipal consultation period under Ontario Regulation 359/09. If you require additional copies of the reports, they can be made available upon request. Please note these reports are for the review of the employees of the United Counties of Stormont, Dundas and Glengarry only. The public review process will commence in approximately 30 days. We would be pleased to meet with you to discuss the enclosed reports and any comments you may have. Please note that as this is a formal requirement of the process, EDP Renewables Canada Ltd. will continue to consult with you directly on matters of municipal interest as we have done so to date.

Sincerely,

Ken Little
Project Manager
(416) 728-0213

Nathan Roscoe
Project Developer
(647) 204-1043



T.J. Simpson
Chief Administrative Officer
United Counties of Stormont, Dundas and Glengarry
26 Pitt Street
Cornwall, ON
K6K 3P2

March 23, 2017

Re: Nation Rise Wind Farm

Dear Mr. Simpson,

On behalf of the Nation Rise Wind Farm, we are writing to advise you that you are receiving the enclosed reports as the Chief Administrative Officer of the United Counties of Stormont, Dundas and Glengarry in accordance with the requirements of Ontario Regulation 359/09.

This initiates the 90 day municipal consultation period under Ontario Regulation 359/09. If you require additional copies of the reports, they can be made available upon request. Please note these reports are for the review of the employees of the United Counties of Stormont, Dundas and Glengarry only. The public review process will commence in approximately 30 days. We would be pleased to meet with you to discuss the enclosed reports and any comments you may have. Please note that this is a formal requirement of the process, EDP Renewables Canada Ltd. will continue to consult with you directly on matters of municipal interest as we have done so to date.

Sincerely,

Ken Little
Project Manager
(416) 728-0213

Nathan Roscoe
Project Developer
(647) 204-1043

Constantin, Gabriel

From: Little, Ken <Ken.Little@edpr.com>
Sent: March-24-17 11:52 AM
To: Rieseberg, Ashley N.; Constantin, Gabriel; Roscoe, Nathan
Subject: FW: Delivery Confirmation
Attachments: Delivery Confirmation.docx

FYI. Please file this confirmation for our records.



Kenneth Little

EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.
Development
110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609
Toronto, ON M5V 2K4
Work 416.502.9463 Cell 416.728.0213 Fax 416.502.1706
www.edpr.com

Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

From: Branch 023 [mailto:branch023@tph.ca]
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 11:22 AM
To: Little, Ken <Ken.Little@edpr.com>
Subject: Delivery Confirmation

Hi Ken,

Here is the delivery confirmation for your shipments. When you have a moment you can call me with your CC.

Thanks,



Darrell Kennedy | *Print Specialist*
T 613-723-7717
E branch023@tph.ca
77 Auriga Drive, Unit #3, Nepean, Ontario K2E 7Z7

The Printing House Limited
Visit us at TPH.CA/023 | [Blog](#)
Connect with us on
[Twitter](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Google+](#)

This e-mail and any attachments may be confidential or legally privileged. If you received this message in error or are not the intended recipient, you should destroy the e-mail message and any attachments or copies, and you are prohibited from retaining, distributing, disclosing or using any information contained herein. Please inform us of the erroneous delivery by return e-mail. Thank you for your cooperation.

Le présent courriel et tout fichier joint peuvent contenir des renseignements privilégiés et confidentiels. Si ce envoi ne s'adresse pas à vous vous devez l'effacer complètement.

Vous ne pouvez conserver, distribuer, communiquer ou utiliser les renseignements qu'il contient. Nous vous prions de nous signaler l'erreur par courriel. Merci de votre collaboration.

Esta mensagem e os ficheiros anexos podem conter informação confidencial ou reservada. Se, por engano, receber esta mensagem, solicita-se que informe de imediato o remetente e que elimine a mensagem e ficheiros anexos sem os reproduzir.

This message and any files herewith attached may contain confidential or privileged information. If you receive this message in error, please notify us immediately and delete this message and any files attached without copying them in any way.

Este mensaje, así como los archivos anexos, pueden contener información reservada o confidencial. Si Usted recibe este mensaje por error, le rogamos que informe de inmediato al remitente y elimine el mensaje y los ficheros anexos, sin reproducirlos en modo alguno.

Delivery Confirmation

Status: Berwick Delivered

Delivered: 3/24/2017 08:30

Signed for by: Carol

Status: Cornwall Delivered

Delivered: 3/24/2017 09:32

Signed for by: Lisa

Status: Morrison Hershfield Delivered

Delivered: 3/24/2017 09:43

Signed for by: Nicolle

Little, Ken

From: Amy Martin <amymartin@northstormont.ca>
Sent: Friday, February 10, 2017 8:26 AM
To: Little, Ken; Roscoe, Nathan
Cc: Marc Chenier
Subject: Building Permits - Settlement Areas
Attachments: Township of North Stormont - Urban Settlement Areas.xlsx

Ken & Nathan,

As requested, I've compiled the locations of all lots in the urban settlement areas who have applied for permits over the last two years.

Amy Martin (Doyle), M.Pl
Community Planner
Economic Development Officer
Communications & Grants
Township of North Stormont
613-984-2821 (ext. 226)

Little, Ken

From: Amy Martin <amymartin@northstormont.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, March 01, 2017 2:13 PM
To: Roscoe, Nathan; Little, Ken
Cc: Marc Chenier
Subject: Fwd: Building Permit Site Plans
Attachments: 91 FRONT STREET, FINCH.pdf; 8 RICHER STREET, CRYSLER.pdf; 12 NATION STREET, CRYSLER.pdf; 39 MATHERSON STREET, CRYSLER.pdf; 4 & 6 BRITTANY STREET, CRYSLER.pdf; 48 MATHERSON STREET, CRYSLER.pdf; 13 RICHER STREET, CRYSLER.pdf; 11 & 13 BRITTANY STREET, CRYSLER.pdf; 15 & 17 BRITTANY STREET, CRYSLER.pdf; 21 RICHER STREET, CRYSLER.pdf; 37 MATHERSON STREET, CRYSLER.pdf; 15289 COUTY ROAD 9, BERWICK.pdf

Hello Nathan and Ken,

The information as requested.

Kind Regards,

Amy

From: "Jacob Rheaume"
To: "Amy Martin"
Sent: Wednesday, March 1, 2017 2:12:10 PM
Subject: Re: Building Permit Site Plans

Hey Amy, here are the site plans for the properties on the spreadsheet.

The ones I don't have are...

- 1- 2400 Murphy Road, Berwick - small 12' x 16' shed for wood storage between garage and house.
- 2- 13 Casselman Street, Finch - small addition for an entry to a single family dwelling attached to house.
- 3- 27 Finch Main Street, Finch - it's a front porch demolition.

Thank you!

Little, Ken

From: Amy Martin <amymartin@northstormont.ca>
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 2:02 PM
To: Little, Ken
Cc: Roscoe, Nathan; Marc Chenier
Subject: Re: Building Permit Site Plans
Attachments: image002.jpg; Township of North Stormont - Updated Permits.xlsx

Ken,

I thought I had sent a quick update shortly after you sent in your request. At the time I didn't have the April permits updated, but now that I do, I've captured the ones that are within the project area. Many are agricultural or accessory structures, but there was one dwelling unit that was built and a few additions.

Hope this helps.

Amy

From: "Ken Little"
To: "Amy Martin"
Cc: "Nathan Roscoe" , "Marc Chenier"
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 1:34:11 PM
Subject: RE: Building Permit Site Plans

Amy,
Did this happen to be completed?
Thanks,
Ken



Kenneth Little
EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.
Development
110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609
Toronto, ON M5V 2K4
Work 416.502.9463 Cell 416.728.0213 Fax 416.502.1706
www.edpr.com

Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

From: Amy Martin [mailto:amymartin@northstormont.ca]
Sent: Tuesday, May 02, 2017 1:36 PM
To: Little, Ken
Cc: Roscoe, Nathan ; Marc Chenier
Subject: Re: Building Permit Site Plans
Ken,

I'll endeavour to have them to you by end of day Thursday.

Amy

From: "Ken Little" <Ken.Little@edpr.com>
To: "Amy Martin" <amymartin@northstormont.ca>, "Nathan Roscoe" <Nathan.Roscoe@edpr.com>
Cc: "Marc Chenier" <mchenier@northstormont.ca>
Sent: Tuesday, May 2, 2017 1:34:54 PM
Subject: RE: Building Permit Site Plans

Amy,

Is it possible for you to provide any updates or new building permits that were filed between the time we were provided the building permits and March 16th? Please call me if you want to discuss.

Kenneth Little

EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.

Development

110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609

Toronto, ON M5V 2K4

Work 416.502.9463 Cell 416.728.0213 Fax 416.502.1706

www.edpr.com

Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

From: Amy Martin [mailto:amymartin@northstormont.ca]
Sent: Wednesday, March 01, 2017 2:13 PM
To: Roscoe, Nathan <Nathan.Roscoe@edpr.com>; Little, Ken <Ken.Little@edpr.com>
Cc: Marc Chenier <mchenier@northstormont.ca>
Subject: Fwd: Building Permit Site Plans

Hello Nathan and Ken,

The information as requested.

Kind Regards,

Amy

From: "Jacob Rheaume" <jacobrheaume@northstormont.ca>
To: "Amy Martin" <amymartin@northstormont.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, March 1, 2017 2:12:10 PM
Subject: Re: Building Permit Site Plans

Hey Amy, here are the site plans for the properties on the spreadsheet.
The ones I don't have are...

- 1- 2400 Murphy Road, Berwick - small 12' x 16' shed for wood storage between garage and house.
 - 2- 13 Casselman Street, Finch - small addition for an entry to a single family dwelling attached to house.
 - 3- 27 Finch Main Street, Finch - it's a front porch demolition.
- Thank you!

Esta mensagem e os ficheiros anexos podem conter informação confidencial ou reservada. Se, por engano, receber esta mensagem, solicita-se que informe de imediato o remetente e que elimine a mensagem e ficheiros anexos sem os reproduzir.

This message and any files herewith attached may contain confidential or privileged information. If you receive this message in error, please notify us immediately and delete this message and any files attached without copying them in any way.

Este mensaje, asi como los archivos anexos, pueden contener informacion reservada o confidencial. Si Usted recibe este mensaje por error, le rogamos que informe de inmediato al remitente y elimine el mensaje y los ficheros anexos, sin reproducirlos en modo alguno.

Little, Ken

From: Guylain Laflèche <glafleche@nationmun.ca>
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 3:49 PM
To: Little, Ken
Subject: RE: Nation Rise Wind Farm - Building Permit Request Form
Attachments: The Nation Municipality EDP.xlsx

[Copy of Municipality of the Nation - Lot_Con](#)

Guylain Lafleche MCIP, RPP
Planner for The Nation Municipality
613-764-5444 ext: 229

From: Little, Ken [mailto:Ken.Little@edpr.com]
Sent: January-31-17 2:42 PM
To: glafleche@nationmun.ca
Cc: Roscoe, Nathan ; jbrizard@nationmun.ca
Subject: Nation Rise Wind Farm - Building Permit Request Form

Guylain,

Per our discussion today, please find attached the MOECC Building Permit Form, Excel file with requested Lot/Cons, and map demonstrating the area being requested. Please let us know of any valid building permits or site approvals in any of the Lot/Cons listed. If you have any questions, please feel free to give me a call.

Thank you,
Ken



Kenneth Little

EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.
Development
110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609
Toronto, ON M5V 2K4
Work 416.502.9463 Cell 416.728.0213 Fax 416.502.1706
www.edpr.com

Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

Esta mensagem e os ficheiros anexos podem conter informação confidencial ou reservada. Se, por engano, receber esta mensagem, solicita-se que informe de imediato o remetente e que elimine a mensagem e ficheiros anexos sem os reproduzir.

This message and any files herewith attached may contain confidential or privileged information. If you receive this message in error, please notify us immediately and delete this message and any files attached without copying them in any way.

Este mensaje, así como los archivos anexos, pueden contener información reservada o confidencial. Si Usted recibe este mensaje por error, le rogamos que informe de inmediato al remitente y elimine el mensaje y los ficheros anexos, sin reproducirlos en modo alguno.

Little, Ken

From: Greg <gtrizisky@northdundas.com>
Sent: Monday, February 06, 2017 9:15 AM
To: Little, Ken
Subject: Request for permit information
Attachments: Township of North Dundas - Building Permit Request Form - Update Coordi....pdf;
Building Permit-BP20160095.pdf

I read through the forms provided, I understand that you only want information for 2016 is that correct?

I have filled out the form following the instructions on the form however I have not completed one of these before please check it to ensure it is what you need. I have attached the only permit I could find meeting the criteria set out in the request. If you need further assistance, clarification or to alter the criteria please email me.



Greg Trizisky, CBCO, CRBO, CPSO, WETT

Chief Building Official

Township of North Dundas

636 St. Lawrence Street, P.O. Box 489, Winchester, ON K0C 2K0

P: (613) 774-2105 x 243

F: (613) 774-5699

E: gtrizisky@northdundas.com

W: www.northdundas.com



This communication is intended only for the addressee indicated above. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure under *The Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*. Any review, copying, dissemination, or use of its contents by persons other than the addressee is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately.

Little, Ken

From: Don Lewis <dlewis@southdundas.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2017 3:20 PM
To: Little, Ken; Roscoe, Nathan
Cc: bbrunt@southdundas.com; 'Amanda Alexander'
Subject: Nation Rise Wind Project
Attachments: DOC022117-02212017150031.pdf

Hello Ken :

I have completed a portion of the form as requested.

A more detailed map depicting given roads such as Grantley Road , existing structures , etc would enable the Municipality to respond with a thorough analysis.

The area has sparsely separated single detached dwellings along Grantley Municipal Road and County Road #11.

The north /east area is predominantly low lying which would most likely not generate significant construction development (dwellings) in the near future.

If you would like photocopies of the Building Permits that we may or may not have in our Archive files or the year each structure was constructed, additional time will be requested in order that staff may assist.

Hope this assists.

Don
dlewis@southdundas.com

Little, Ken

From: Amy Martin <amymartin@northstormont.ca>
Sent: Friday, February 10, 2017 8:24 AM
To: Little, Ken; Roscoe, Nathan
Cc: Jacob Rheaume; Marc Chenier
Subject: Fwd: Site Plans
Attachments: 15109 Ashburn Road.pdf; 14939 Concession 11-12 Road.pdf; 14890 County Road 9.pdf; 14096 Manley Road.pdf; 15641 Concession 3-4 Road.pdf; 14637 Concession 11-12 Road.pdf; 14203 Concession 6-7 Road.pdf; 15227 Concession 4-5 Road.pdf

Ken & Nathan,

As requested, I've attached the site plans for the Single Family Dwellings in the Rural Area of North Stormont that falls within your project area.

Amy

From: "Jacob Rheaume"
To: "Amy Martin"
Sent: Wednesday, February 8, 2017 1:30:31 PM
Subject: Re: Site Plans

Here we go Amy, we are better than I thought. You will see that most of them are new SFD so we use the site plan from the septic system as they show both.

There are only 2 we didn't have...

2400 Murphy - Do not have, 12' x 16' accessory residential building for wood storage.
15289 County Road 9 - Do not have, small addition attached to single family dwelling.

I hope it helps...

From: "Amy Martin"
To: "Jacob Rheaume"
Sent: Wednesday, February 8, 2017 10:38:05 AM
Subject: Site Plans

Can you provide me with a copy of each site plan showing the proposed building location for the single family dwellings.

14096 Manley
2400 Murphy
14890 County Road 9
14939 Concession 11-12 Road

15109 Ashburn Road
15227 Concession 4-5 Road
15289 County Road 9
15641 Concession 3-4 Road
14203 Concession 6-7 Road
14637 Concession 11-12 Road

Amy Martin (Doyle), M.Pl
Community Planner
Economic Development Officer
Communications & Grants
Township of North Stormont
613-984-2821 (ext. 226)

Little, Ken

From: Ashley Sloan <ashley@southstormont.ca>
Sent: Friday, February 10, 2017 9:44 AM
To: Little, Ken; Roscoe, Nathan
Cc: Hilton Cryderman; Loriann Harbers; Peter Young
Subject: RE: Nation Rise Wind Farm - Building Permit Request
Attachments: Township of South Stormont - Building Permit Request Form.pdf; Building Permit 2015-198.pdf; Building Permit 2016-207.pdf

Good morning Nathan,

Please find attached the completed Site Plan Approval and Building Permit Request Form. As requested, I have also attached copies of the building permits in respect of the properties identified as separate attachments. We are not aware of any site plan approvals in respect of the properties identified.

Should you have any further questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Kind regards,

Ashley Sloan, Administrative Assistant



Come see for yourself!

Township of South Stormont
2 Mille Roches Rd., P.O. Box 84
Long Sault, ON K0C 1P0
Email: ashley@southstormont.ca
Office: 613-534-8889 ext. 204
Fax: 613-534-2280

 [Like Us On Facebook](#)

This e-mail originates from the Township of South Stormont e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify me at the telephone number shown above or by return e-mail and delete this communication and any copy immediately. Thank you.

 Please consider the environment before printing this email

From: Loriann Harbers [mailto:loriann@southstormont.ca]
Sent: February-09-17 4:54 PM
To: 'Little, Ken'

Cc: 'Roscoe, Nathan' ; Ashley Sloan
Subject: RE: Nation Rise Wind Farm - Building Permit Request

Thank you very much Ken –

I have also copied Ashley on this email – she is also kept in the loop.

Have a great vacation.

Loriann

From: Little, Ken [<mailto:Ken.Little@edpr.com>]
Sent: February-09-17 11:23 AM
To: loriann@southstormont.ca
Cc: Roscoe, Nathan <Nathan.Roscoe@edpr.com>
Subject: RE: Nation Rise Wind Farm - Building Permit Request

Loriann,

I wanted to follow-up on the previous building permit request form. I will be out of the office on vacation starting this afternoon; however, Nathan Roscoe will be in contact with you in my absence. Please send all completed documentation to him as soon as it is completed.

If you could, please forward this e-mail to Ashley Sloan. I was in phone contact with Ashley last week, but wanted to introduce her to Nathan in my absence.

Thank you,
Ken

Nathan Roscoe
EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.
Development
110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609
Toronto, ON M5V 2K4
Work 416.502.9463 Cell 647.204.1043 Fax 416.502.1706



Kenneth Little
EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.
Development
110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609
Toronto, ON M5V 2K4
Work 416.502.9463 Cell 416.728.0213 Fax 416.502.1706

www.edpr.com

Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

From: Little, Ken

Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 2:45 PM

To: 'loriann@southstormont.ca' <loriann@southstormont.ca>

Cc: Nathan Roscoe - EDP Renewables Canada Ltd. (nathan.roscoe@edpr.com) <nathan.roscoe@edpr.com>

Subject: Nation Rise Wind Farm - Building Permit Request

Loriann,

Per our discussion today, please find attached the MOECC Building Permit Form, Excel file with requested Lot/Cons, and map demonstrating the area being requested. Please let us know of any valid building permits or site approvals in any of the Lot/Cons listed. If you have any questions, as always please feel free to give me a call. I will be in the area all week and I am happy to stop by to discuss further if you need any clarification.

Thank you,
Ken



Kenneth Little

EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.

Development

110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609

Toronto, ON M5V 2K4

Work 416.502.9463 Cell 416.728.0213 Fax 416.502.1706

www.edpr.com

Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

Esta mensagem e os ficheiros anexos podem conter informação confidencial ou reservada. Se, por engano, receber esta mensagem, solicita-se que informe de imediato o remetente e que elimine a mensagem e ficheiros anexos sem os reproduzir.

This message and any files herewith attached may contain confidential or privileged information. If you receive this message in error, please notify us immediately and delete this message and any files attached without copying them in any way.

Este mensaje, asi como los archivos anexos, pueden contener informacion reservada o confidencial. Si Usted recibe este mensaje por error, le rogamos que informe de inmediato al remitente y elimine el mensaje y los ficheros anexos, sin reproducirlos en modo alguno.

Little, Ken

From: Branam, Aron <Aron.Branam@edpr.com>
Sent: Friday, September 07, 2012 10:38 AM
To: kmcpherson@northstormont.ca
Cc: Little, Ken; Brown, Ryan
Subject: EDP Renewables Meeting and Presentation

Hi Karen,

Thank you for taking the time to speak with me this morning. As I mentioned, EDP Renewables is working on a wind energy project in the United Counties of Stormont, Dundas and Glengarry. We would like to schedule meetings with members of the township and Council to introduce EDP Renewables and the members of our team, discuss the project, and answer questions about wind energy.

Please let me know if Ron and Terry will be available to meet on Tuesday, September 18 between 9:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m. In addition, we would like to be added to the agenda for the October 9th Council meeting.

Once you are able to confirm dates for these meetings please let me know as some of our team will need to make travel arrangements. I would also like to know if agendas for the upcoming Council meetings in September are available.

Thank you and I look forward to hearing from you.

Regards,
Aron Branam



Aron Branam

EDP Renewables North America LLC
Development - Western Region
110 Spadina Avenue, Suite 609, Toronto, ON M5V 2K4
Direct 416.502.9463 Cell 713.899.5193 Fax 416.502.1706
www.edpr.com www.horizonwind.com

Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

Little, Ken

From: Karen McPherson <kmcpherson@northstormont.ca>
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2012 2:58 PM
To: Branam, Aron
Cc: Little, Ken; Brown, Ryan; Terry Hart; ronsabourin@northstormont.ca
Subject: RE: EDP Renewables Meeting and Presentation

Hi Aron,
Our CBO/Zoning Administrator, Ron Sabourin, and our Planner, Terry Hart, can meet with you here at the Township Office, 15 Union St., Berwick, on Tuesday, September 18th at 10:00 am. Can you please confirm this time is OK?
Thanks!
Karen

From: Branam, Aron [mailto:Aron.Branam@edpr.com]
Sent: September-07-12 10:38 AM
To: kmcpherson@northstormont.ca
Cc: Little, Ken; Brown, Ryan
Subject: EDP Renewables Meeting and Presentation

Hi Karen,

Thank you for taking the time to speak with me this morning. As I mentioned, EDP Renewables is working on a wind energy project in the United Counties of Stormont, Dundas and Glengarry. We would like to schedule meetings with members of the township and Council to introduce EDP Renewables and the members of our team, discuss the project, and answer questions about wind energy.

Please let me know if Ron and Terry will be available to meet on Tuesday, September 18 between 9:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m. In addition, we would like to be added to the agenda for the October 9th Council meeting.

Once you are able to confirm dates for these meetings please let me know as some of our team will need to make travel arrangements. I would also like to know if agendas for the upcoming Council meetings in September are available.

Thank you and I look forward to hearing from you.

Regards,
Aron Branam



Aron Branam
EDP Renewables North America LLC
Development - Western Region
110 Spadina Avenue, Suite 609, Toronto, ON M5V 2K4
Direct 416.502.9463 Cell 713.899.5193 Fax 416.502.1706
www.edpr.com www.horizonwind.com

Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

No virus found in this message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 2012.0.2197 / Virus Database: 2437/5254 - Release Date: 09/07/12

Little, Ken

From: Ginette Martin Stephan <gmartinstephan@northstormont.ca>
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2015 10:54 AM
To: Little, Ken
Subject: RE: North Stormont Council: EDPR Delegation Request - February 17th, 2015

Ken,

February 10, the Mayor may or may not be here, and the Mayor wants to be here for the EDPR presentation, therefore we will keep the February 17, 2015 at 7:00 pm for your presentation. If there is any changes please let me know.

Thank you.

Ginette Martin-Stephan

Deputy Clerk

Township of North Stormont
15 Union St. Box 99
Berwick, ON K0C 1G0
613-984-2821 ext 222
www.northstormont.ca

From: Little, Ken [mailto:Ken.Little@edpr.com]
Sent: January-16-15 10:19 AM
To: gmartinstephan@northstormont.ca
Cc: O'Connor, Ryan
Subject: North Stormont Council: EDPR Delegation Request - February 17th, 2015

Ginette,

Per our phone call, I would like to request a delegation for February 17th, 2015. We will be able to have the presentation and any accompanying information for the delegation well in advance of the meeting. Could you please also let me know what the availability is for the February 10th meeting if we were to move to that date.

Thank you,
Ken



Kenneth Little
EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.
Development
110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609
Toronto, ON M5V 2K4
Work 416.502.9463 Cell 416.728.0213 Fax 416.502.1706

www.edpr.com

Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

Esta mensagem e os ficheiros anexos podem conter informação confidencial ou reservada. Se, por engano, receber esta mensagem, solicita-se que informe de imediato o remetente e que elimine a mensagem e ficheiros anexos sem os reproduzir.

This message and any files herewith attached may contain confidential or privileged information. If you receive this message in error, please notify us immediately and delete this message and any files attached without copying them in any way.

Este mensaje, asi como los archivos anexos, pueden contener informacion reservada o confidencial. Si Usted recibe este mensaje por error, le rogamos que informe de inmediato al remitente y elimine el mensaje y los ficheros anexos, sin reproducirlos en modo alguno.

Little, Ken

From: Amy Doyle <amydoyle@northstormont.ca>
Sent: Friday, July 03, 2015 3:15 PM
To: Little, Ken
Cc: Marc Chenier; Ginette Martin Stephan
Subject: July 14th Council Meeting
Attachments: EDP Renewables - Delegation Letter.pdf; Form ONLY Delegation Application.pdf

Good Afternoon Ken,

Please see the attached letter in regard to your delegation at the July 14th, 2015 Council Meeting.

Have a Great Weekend,

Amy Doyle, M.Pl
Community Planner
Township of North Stormont
T: (613) 984-2821 x 226
F: (613) 984-2908
W: northstormont.ca



Little, Ken

From: Benjamin De Haan <b_dehaan@sdgcounties.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2015 11:32 AM
To: Little, Ken; bhenderson@northstormont.ca; cbazinet@southdundas.com
Cc: Loturco, Tom; Meskell, Colin; O'Connor, Ryan; Roscoe, Nathan
Subject: RE: EDP Renewables - New SD&G Projects for High-level Discussion

These work for me. I am happy to secure a room

B

From: Little, Ken [mailto:Ken.Little@edpr.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2015 11:27 AM
To: bhenderson@northstormont.ca; Benjamin De Haan; cbazinet@southdundas.com
Cc: Loturco, Tom; Meskell, Colin; O'Connor, Ryan; Roscoe, Nathan
Subject: EDP Renewables - New SD&G Projects for High-level Discussion

Ben, Blake and Chris,

As we've discussed on the phone, I am trying to coordinate a short meeting next week to discuss our new wind projects that we are bidding this year in North Stormont and South Dundas. These projects aren't approved, and if they are they won't start construction until 2018/19. In the meantime, we would like to discuss the basics about possible transportation plans to these sites so that we are aware of any major bridges, culverts, or other pieces of infrastructure that we should be planning for in the area. We don't have exact turbine locations at this point, but we will be able to supply the general project area and the properties we currently have leased prior to the meeting for your review.

It isn't our desire to create detailed transportation plans at this point, but we would like to understand the desired transportation plans from the Townships and Counties and make sure that we are accounting for any major areas of concern on roadways that could be used for the delivery of heavy components to site.

I am proposing we meet at the following times in Cornwall at the SD&G office:

North Stormont

Nation Rise Wind Farm
10:00am, Tuesday August 25th

South Dundas

South Branch II Wind Farm
11:00am, Tuesday August 25th

Please let me know if these times works for you.



Kenneth Little
EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.

Development

110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609

Toronto, ON M5V 2K4

Work 416.502.9463 Cell 416.728.0213 Fax 416.502.1706

www.edpr.com

Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

Esta mensagem e os ficheiros anexos podem conter informação confidencial ou reservada. Se, por engano, receber esta mensagem, solicita-se que informe de imediato o remetente e que elimine a mensagem e ficheiros anexos sem os reproduzir.

This message and any files herewith attached may contain confidential or privileged information. If you receive this message in error, please notify us immediately and delete this message and any files attached without copying them in any way.

Este mensaje, asi como los archivos anexos, pueden contener informacion reservada o confidencial. Si Usted recibe este mensaje por error, le rogamos que informe de inmediato al remitente y elimine el mensaje y los ficheros anexos, sin reproducirlos en modo alguno.

Little, Ken

From: Marc Chenier <mchenier@northstormont.ca>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2016 8:46 AM
To: Loturco, Tom
Cc: Amy Doyle; Little, Ken; fifeag@plantpioneer.com
Subject: Re: Meeting
Attachments: image001.gif

Good morning Tom,

It's a go for today in Council Chambers at 3pm!

Kind regards!

.../Marc

Marc Chénier
Chief Administrative Officer\Clerk
Directeur général\greffier

Township of North Stormont
Canton de North Stormont
15 Rue Union St., P .O. Box 99
Berwick, ON. K0C 1G0
Tel: 613-984-2821, Ext. 223
Fax: 613-984-2908
www.northstormont.ca

Do you really have to print this email? Think environment! Devez-vous vraiment imprimer ce courriel? Pensons à l'environnement!

This email and any attachments are being transmitted in confidence for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. Any distribution or any copying by persons other than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the material. Due to the dangers associated with the internet, the Township of North Stormont is not responsible for the transmission of a virus that could be included in this email.

Ce courriel et toutes les pièces jointes sont transmis confidentiellement pour que l'individu ou l'organisme à laquelle ils sont adressés les utilise. Toute diffusion ou toute reproduction par une autre personne que le destinataire est interdite. Si vous recevez ce message par erreur, veuillez en informer l'expéditeur et supprimer le communiqué immédiatement. Dû aux dangers associés à l'internet, le Canton de North Stormont n'assume aucune responsabilité suite à la transmission d'un virus que pourrait contenir ce courriel.

From: "Tom Loturco" <Tom.LoTurco@edpr.com>
To: fifeag@plantpioneer.com
Cc: "Amy Doyle" <amydoyle@northstormont.ca>, mchenier@northstormont.ca, "Ken Little" <Ken.Little@edpr.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2016 5:31:01 PM
Subject: Meeting

Mayor Fife,

Thanks for the opportunity to meet with you and North Stormont staff.

We can be there on Monday afternoon if that works for you.

Would 3pm work?

Thanks,
Tom



Tom LoTurco
EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.
Director of Development
110 Spadina Avenue, Suite 609, Toronto, ON M5V 2K4
Direct 416.502.9463 Cell 416.804.6407 Fax 416.502.1706
www.edpr.com

Esta mensagem e os ficheiros anexos podem conter informação confidencial ou reservada. Se, por engano, receber esta mensagem, solicita-se que informe de imediato o remetente e que elimine a mensagem e ficheiros anexos sem os reproduzir.

This message and any files herewith attached may contain confidential or privileged information. If you receive this message in error, please notify us immediately and delete this message and any files attached without copying them in any way.

Este mensaje, asi como los archivos anexos, pueden contener informacion reservada o confidencial. Si Usted recibe este mensaje por error, le rogamos que informe de inmediato al remitente y elimine el mensaje y los ficheros anexos, sin reproducirlos en modo alguno.

Little, Ken

From: Blake Henderson <bhenderson@northstormont.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2016 3:38 PM
To: Little, Ken
Cc: Ben DeHaan; Roscoe, Nathan
Subject: Re: Nation Rise Wind Farm: Transportation Plan Discussion
Attachments: image001.jpg; image004.jpg

Hi All,
That works for me.

Thank You

Blake Henderson
Public Works Superintendent
15 Union St. Berwick Ont.
613-551-0498
bhenderson@northstormont.ca

From: "Little, Ken" <Ken.Little@edpr.com>
To: "Blake" <bhenderson@northstormont.ca>, "Ben DeHaan" <bdehaan@sdgcounties.ca>
Cc: "Roscoe, Nathan" <Nathan.Roscoe@edpr.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2016 3:28:41 PM
Subject: RE: Nation Rise Wind Farm: Transportation Plan Discussion

Ben & Blake,

Per our discussions yesterday, Friday, August 5th seems to work great for everyone. I will send out an invite; however, I would like your feedback on timing. I think it would be best to have the meeting in the morning, but happy to work around your schedules if there are any conflicts or if it would just be easier to end your day after this meeting. If so, please propose a time. Otherwise I would propose meeting at 9:30am at the North Stormont office.

Thank you,

Ken



Kenneth Little
EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.
Development
110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609
Toronto, ON M5V 2K4
Work 416.502.9463 Cell 416.728.0213 Fax 416.502.1706
www.edpr.com
Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

From: Little, Ken

Sent: Monday, July 04, 2016 10:16 AM

To: 'bhenderson@northstormont.ca' <bhenderson@northstormont.ca>; 'Benjamin "Ben" de Haan (bdehaan@sdgcounties.ca)' <bdehaan@sdgcounties.ca>

Cc: Nathan Roscoe - EDP Renewables Canada Ltd. (nathan.roscoe@edpr.com) <nathan.roscoe@edpr.com>

Subject: Nation Rise Wind Farm: Transportation Plan Discussion

Blake and Ben,

I hope the Canada Day weekend treated you well. I wanted to reach out and see when the two of you would have availability to discuss in more detail potential transportation routes for the Nation Rise project in North Stormont. I know we had some very preliminary discussions before the bid in July/August of last year, but we now have a little better idea around where the project will be and potential options for site access.

We will have some of our engineering staff on site on July 21st and 22nd if you have availability; however, we are flexible to meet most any date after July 13th. Please let me know what days you would have available. We are free to host the meeting at the South Branch project or do a driving tour as well. Alternatively, we are happy to meet at either of your offices.

Thank you,
Ken



Kenneth Little

EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.

Development

110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609

Toronto, ON M5V 2K4

Work 416.502.9463 Cell 416.728.0213 Fax 416.502.1706

www.edpr.com

Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

Esta mensagem e os ficheiros anexos podem conter informação confidencial ou reservada. Se, por engano, receber esta mensagem, solicita-se que informe de imediato o remetente e que elimine a mensagem e ficheiros anexos sem os reproduzir.

This message and any files herewith attached may contain confidential or privileged information. If you receive this message in error, please notify us immediately and delete this message and any files attached without copying them in any way.

Este mensaje, asi como los archivos anexos, pueden contener informacion reservada o confidencial. Si Usted recibe este mensaje por error, le rogamos que informe de inmediato al remitente y elimine el mensaje y los ficheros anexos, sin reproducirlos en modo alguno.

Little, Ken

From: Benjamin De Haan <b_dehaan@sdgcounties.ca>
Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2016 10:19 AM
To: Little, Ken
Subject: RE: Nation Rise Wind Farm: Transportation Plan Discussion

9:30 is fine with me as well

From: Little, Ken [mailto:Ken.Little@edpr.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2016 3:29 PM
To: bhenderson@northstormont.ca; Benjamin De Haan <b_dehaan@sdgcounties.ca>
Cc: Roscoe, Nathan <Nathan.Roscoe@edpr.com>
Subject: RE: Nation Rise Wind Farm: Transportation Plan Discussion

Ben & Blake,

Per our discussions yesterday, Friday, August 5th seems to work great for everyone. I will send out an invite; however, I would like your feedback on timing. I think it would be best to have the meeting in the morning, but happy to work around your schedules if there are any conflicts or if it would just be easier to end your day after this meeting. If so, please propose a time. Otherwise I would propose meeting at 9:30am at the North Stormont office.

Thank you,

Ken



Kenneth Little
EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.
Development
110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609
Toronto, ON M5V 2K4
Work 416.502.9463 Cell 416.728.0213 Fax 416.502.1706
www.edpr.com

Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

From: Little, Ken
Sent: Monday, July 04, 2016 10:16 AM
To: 'bhenderson@northstormont.ca' <bhenderson@northstormont.ca>; 'Benjamin "Ben" de Haan (bdehaan@sdgcounties.ca)' <bdehaan@sdgcounties.ca>
Cc: Nathan Roscoe - EDP Renewables Canada Ltd. (nathan.roscoe@edpr.com) <nathan.roscoe@edpr.com>
Subject: Nation Rise Wind Farm: Transportation Plan Discussion

Blake and Ben,

I hope the Canada Day weekend treated you well. I wanted to reach out and see when the two of you would have availability to discuss in more detail potential transportation routes for the Nation Rise project in North Stormont. I know we had some very preliminary discussions before the bid in July/August of last year, but we now have a little better idea around where the project will be and potential options for site access.

We will have some of our engineering staff on site on July 21st and 22nd if you have availability; however, we are flexible to meet most any date after July 13th. Please let me know what days you would have available. We are free to host the meeting at the South Branch project or do a driving tour as well. Alternatively, we are happy to meet at either of your offices.

Thank you,
Ken



Kenneth Little

EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.

Development

110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609

Toronto, ON M5V 2K4

Work 416.502.9463 Cell 416.728.0213 Fax 416.502.1706

www.edpr.com

Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

Esta mensagem e os ficheiros anexos podem conter informação confidencial ou reservada. Se, por engano, receber esta mensagem, solicita-se que informe de imediato o remetente e que elimine a mensagem e ficheiros anexos sem os reproduzir.

This message and any files herewith attached may contain confidential or privileged information. If you receive this message in error, please notify us immediately and delete this message and any files attached without copying them in any way.

Este mensaje, asi como los archivos anexos, pueden contener informacion reservada o confidencial. Si Usted recibe este mensaje por error, le rogamos que informe de inmediato al remitente y elimine el mensaje y los ficheros anexos, sin reproducirlos en modo alguno.

Little, Ken

From: Little, Ken
Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2016 3:29 PM
To: bhenderson@northstormont.ca; 'Benjamin "Ben" de Haan (bdehaan@sdgcounties.ca)'
Cc: Nathan Roscoe - EDP Renewables Canada Ltd. (nathan.roscoe@edpr.com)
Subject: RE: Nation Rise Wind Farm: Transportation Plan Discussion

Ben & Blake,

Per our discussions yesterday, Friday, August 5th seems to work great for everyone. I will send out an invite; however, I would like your feedback on timing. I think it would be best to have the meeting in the morning, but happy to work around your schedules if there are any conflicts or if it would just be easier to end your day after this meeting. If so, please propose a time. Otherwise I would propose meeting at 9:30am at the North Stormont office.

Thank you,

Ken



Kenneth Little

EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.
Development
110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609
Toronto, ON M5V 2K4
Work 416.502.9463 Cell 416.728.0213 Fax 416.502.1706
www.edpr.com

Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

From: Little, Ken
Sent: Monday, July 04, 2016 10:16 AM
To: 'bhenderson@northstormont.ca' <bhenderson@northstormont.ca>; 'Benjamin "Ben" de Haan (bdehaan@sdgcounties.ca)' <bdehaan@sdgcounties.ca>
Cc: Nathan Roscoe - EDP Renewables Canada Ltd. (nathan.roscoe@edpr.com) <nathan.roscoe@edpr.com>
Subject: Nation Rise Wind Farm: Transportation Plan Discussion

Blake and Ben,

I hope the Canada Day weekend treated you well. I wanted to reach out and see when the two of you would have availability to discuss in more detail potential transportation routes for the Nation Rise project in North Stormont. I know we had some very preliminary discussions before the bid in July/August of last year, but we now have a little better idea around where the project will be and potential options for site access.

We will have some of our engineering staff on site on July 21st and 22nd if you have availability; however, we are flexible to meet most any date after July 13th. Please let me know what days you would have available. We are free to host the

meeting at the South Branch project or do a driving tour as well. Alternatively, we are happy to meet at either of your offices.

Thank you,
Ken



Kenneth Little

EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.

Development

110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609

Toronto, ON M5V 2K4

Work 416.502.9463 Cell 416.728.0213 Fax 416.502.1706

www.edpr.com

Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

Little, Ken

From: Amy Martin <amymartin@northstormont.ca>
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2016 1:26 PM
To: Roscoe, Nathan
Cc: Little, Ken; Marc Chenier
Subject: Re: EDP Renewables - Nation Rise Wind Farm Project Update
Attachments: image002.jpg

Sounds good! See you then.

Amy

From: "Nathan Roscoe" <Nathan.Roscoe@edpr.com>
To: "Amy Martin" <amymartin@northstormont.ca>
Cc: "Ken Little" <Ken.Little@edpr.com>
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2016 1:23:42 PM
Subject: RE: EDP Renewables - Nation Rise Wind Farm Project Update

Hi Amy,

Yes, Friday morning works for me. How does 10am sound?

Thanks,



Nathan Roscoe
EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.
Development
110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609
Toronto, ON M5V 2K4
Work 416.502.9463 Cell 647.204.1043 Fax 416.502.1706
www.edpr.com

Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

From: Amy Martin [mailto:amymartin@northstormont.ca]
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2016 9:29 AM
To: Roscoe, Nathan <Nathan.Roscoe@edpr.com>
Cc: Little, Ken <Ken.Little@edpr.com>
Subject: Re: EDP Renewables - Nation Rise Wind Farm Project Update

Does Friday Morning work for you Nathan?

From: "Nathan Roscoe" <Nathan.Roscoe@edpr.com>
To: "Amy Martin" <amymartin@northstormont.ca>
Cc: "Ken Little" <Ken.Little@edpr.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2016 10:28:34 AM
Subject: EDP Renewables - Nation Rise Wind Farm Project Update

Good morning Amy,

As Ken had mentioned during your conversation today, I will be in the North Stormont area Wednesday through to Friday morning next week. If you have the time I would like to meet at the North Stormont municipal office to provide an update of our Nation Rise project. Currently I am not available Thursday morning but will be available any other time during my trip. Please suggest a time that works best for you and any other staff that would like to be part of the meeting.

Best,

Nathan Roscoe

EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.
Development
110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609
Toronto, ON M5V 2K4
Work 416.502.9463 Cell 647.204.1043 Fax 416.502.1706
www.edpr.com

Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

Esta mensagem e os ficheiros anexos podem conter informação confidencial ou reservada. Se, por engano, receber esta mensagem, solicita-se que informe de imediato o remetente e que elimine a mensagem e ficheiros anexos sem os reproduzir.

This message and any files herewith attached may contain confidential or privileged information. If you receive this message in error, please notify us immediately and delete this message and any files attached without copying them in any way.

Este mensaje, asi como los archivos anexos, pueden contener informacion reservada o confidencial. Si Usted recibe este mensaje por error, le rogamos que informe de inmediato al remitente y elimine el mensaje y los ficheros anexos, sin reproducirlos en modo alguno.

Little, Ken

From: Amy Martin <amymartin@northstormont.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2016 9:21 AM
To: Little, Ken
Subject: Re: Thursday Afternoon

I'll get the building stats to you shortly...I'm out of the office most of today but will be able to get to it today hopefully.

From: "Ken Little" <Ken.Little@edpr.com>
To: "Amy Martin" <amymartin@northstormont.ca>
Cc: "Nathan Roscoe" <Nathan.Roscoe@edpr.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2016 9:17:44 AM
Subject: RE: Thursday Afternoon

Amy,

That sounds great. Will see you tomorrow at 2pm.

Kenneth Little

EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.
Development
110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609
Toronto, ON M5V 2K4
Work 416.502.9463 Cell 416.728.0213 Fax 416.502.1706
www.edpr.com

Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

From: Amy Martin [mailto:amymartin@northstormont.ca]
Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2016 7:45 PM
To: Little, Ken <Ken.Little@edpr.com>
Cc: Roscoe, Nathan <Nathan.Roscoe@edpr.com>
Subject: Thursday Afternoon

Ken,

We'd have time Thursday around 2:00 to meet. Let me know if this works.

I'm out of office tomorrow and was out of office today - I just wanted to touch base so as to not leave you hanging.

Kind Regards,

Amy Martin (Doyle), M.Pl
Community Planner
Economic Development Officer
Communications & Grants
Township of North Stormont
613-984-2821 (ext. 226)

Esta mensagem e os ficheiros anexos podem conter informação confidencial ou reservada. Se, por engano, receber esta mensagem, solicita-se que informe de imediato o remetente e que elimine a mensagem e ficheiros anexos sem os reproduzir.

This message and any files herewith attached may contain confidential or privileged information. If you receive this message in error, please notify us immediately and delete this message and any files attached without copying them in any way.

Este mensaje, asi como los archivos anexos, pueden contener informacion reservada o confidencial. Si Usted recibe este mensaje por error, le rogamos que informe de inmediato al remitente y elimine el mensaje y los ficheros anexos, sin reproducirlos en modo alguno.

Little, Ken

From: Amy Martin <amymartin@northstormont.ca>
Sent: Monday, June 19, 2017 2:20 PM
To: Roscoe, Nathan
Cc: Loturco, Tom; Little, Ken; Marc Chenier
Subject: Re: Conference Call

It's a go.

From: "Nathan Roscoe" <Nathan.Roscoe@edpr.com>
To: "Tom Loturco" <Tom.LoTurco@edpr.com>, "Amy Martin" <amymartin@northstormont.ca>
Cc: "Ken Little" <Ken.Little@edpr.com>, "Marc Chenier" <mchenier@northstormont.ca>
Sent: Monday, June 19, 2017 1:29:58 PM
Subject: RE: Conference Call

Amy,

Ken and I will be available for Wednesday morning @9am. Please confirm if this time works for you and I will distribute a meeting invite.

Nathan Roscoe
EDP Renewables Canada Ltd.
Development
110 Spadina Ave, Suite 609
Toronto, ON M5V 2K4
Work 416.502.9463 Cell 647.204.1043 Fax 416.502.1706
www.edpr.com

Take action. Use energy efficient products.

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

From: Loturco, Tom
Sent: Monday, June 19, 2017 1:12 PM
To: Amy Martin <amymartin@northstormont.ca>
Cc: Little, Ken <Ken.Little@edpr.com>; Roscoe, Nathan <Nathan.Roscoe@edpr.com>; Marc Chenier <mchenier@northstormont.ca>
Subject: Re: Conference Call

Amy,

Ken and I are out of the office until the end of Wednesday. Could we schedule something with Nathan? Nathan can coordinate times and schedules.

Thanks,

Tom

Tom LoTurco
EDP Renewables Canada
110 Spadina Avenue Suite 609
Toronto, ON M5V 2K4
M: (416) 804-6407
O: (416) 502-9463

On Jun 19, 2017, at 12:10 PM, Amy Martin <amymartin@northstormont.ca> wrote:

Hey Ken, Nathan and Tom,

Would you have time either tomorrow or first thing Wednesday for a Conference call? Just want to catch up to discuss timelines. We're finalizing a few things on our end and want to straighten out the loose ends.

Kindest Regards,

Amy Martin (Doyle), M.Pl
Community Planner
Economic Development Officer
Communications & Grants
Township of North Stormont
613-984-2821 (ext. 226)

Esta mensagem e os ficheiros anexos podem conter informação confidencial ou reservada. Se, por engano, receber esta mensagem, solicita-se que informe de imediato o remetente e que elimine a mensagem e ficheiros anexos sem os reproduzir.

This message and any files herewith attached may contain confidential or privileged information. If you receive this message in error, please notify us immediately and delete this message and any files attached without copying them in any way.

Este mensaje, asi como los archivos anexos, pueden contener informacion reservada o confidencial. Si Usted recibe este mensaje por error, le rogamos que informe de inmediato al remitente y elimine el mensaje y los ficheros anexos, sin reproducirlos en modo alguno.

APPENDIX D-3: MUNICIPAL QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Municipal Questions and Answers

Item	Comments	Actions	Proponent/Project Response
<p>1. Does the Project Description report include all information referenced in Chapter 4, Section 2 of the Technical Guide?</p>	<p>Information is presented but details are missing regarding description of the permissions that are required to access the land not owned by the proponent and whether they have been obtained (e.g. Public road allowances and Electrical collector lines).</p>	<p>Proponent to provide</p>	<p>The Proponent is currently engaging with the relevant regulatory bodies for all land access requirement and construction will not begin before all relevant permit will be obtained.</p> <p>Generators are allowed to install collection infrastructure in public right-of-ways based on Section 41 of the Electricity Act, 1998 (Ontario).</p>
<p>2. Does the Construction Plan Report include all information referenced in Chapter 5, Section 2-5 of the Technical Guide?</p>	<p>The negative environmental effects assessment is missing an assessment of the required construction dewatering activities impacts on the groundwater quantity and quality from a human health perspective; i.e. impacts to wells and potable water source. Potential impacts to Prime Agricultural Land are also neither described nor addressed in this report.</p>	<p>Proponent to provide missing information & Municipality to Confirm the need for a traffic management plan for the construction phase and roads condition surveys prior and post-construction to address impacts to local roads</p>	<p>Consistent with regulatory requirements an assessment will be completed to identify the need for temporary groundwater control during construction for each construction site (e.g., MOECC Permit to Take Water). Such assessments include examination of the effects, if any, on nearby shallow water wells and methods to mitigate temporary influences. Permanent influences on groundwater conditions (shallow or deep) are not expected for this project.</p>

Item	Comments	Actions	Proponent/Project Response
<p>3. Does the Design and Operations Report include all information referenced in Chapter 6, Section 10 of the Technical Guide?</p>	<p>i) Site Plan Maps presented in Appendix A do not have a colour/demarcation scheme that allows all plan features to be observed and delineated clearly.</p> <p>ii) Groundwater well locations not specified on site plans.</p> <p>iii) Complete archaeological and cultural heritage assessments reports and confirmation letter from the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport are not included in the report.</p> <p>iv) Confirmation letter from the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry regarding completeness of natural heritage assessment and birds and bats EEMP has not been received for the project</p> <p>v) Location and type of permanent meteorological towers is not provided</p> <p>vi) Location of the temporary construction staging areas for the purpose of staging and storing equipment during the construction phase is unknown (up to 3 location of 2-7 hectares on privately owned lands)</p> <p>vii) Monitoring of birds impacts during construction is not consistent with guidance provided on Environment and Climate Change Canada's Incidental Take website</p> <p>viii) Environmental contingency plan is lacking details (e.g. groundwater discharge, surface water quality)</p> <p>ix) Assessment of potential impacts to potable water through groundwater wells has not been completed</p>	<p>Proponent to modify the colour/demarcation scheme to allow all plan features to be observed and delineated clearly and to provide missing information.</p>	<p>i) All project infrastructure will be delineated properly and visible in the site plan maps to be submitted to the MOECC as part of the REA application.</p> <p>ii) Ground water locations are not required as part of the REA application.</p> <p>iii) The reports provided to the municipality and county were draft reports and were not required to provide MTCS sign-off during distribution to the municipality and county. The final reports will include MTCS confirmation letters.</p> <p>iv) The reports provided to the municipality and county were draft reports and were not required to provide MNRF sign-off during distribution to the municipality and county. Final reports will include MNRF confirmation letters.</p> <p>v) The possible locations of the met towers will be provided in the REA project infrastructure maps.</p> <p>vi) The proposed temporary construction staging areas are provided on the project infrastructure maps.</p> <p>vii) Proposed bird and bat mortality monitoring is consistent with the MNRF study guidelines.</p> <p>viii) Environmental contingency plans can be located in the Environmental Effects Monitoring Plans of the Construction Report, Design and Operations Report and Decommissioning Report of the REA application.</p> <p>ix) Turbine siting is expected to result in distances between the turbines and domestic water wells at which construction and operational conditions are inconsequential.</p>

Item	Comments	Actions	Proponent/Project Response
<p>4. Does the Decommissioning Plan Report include all information referenced in Chapter 7, Section 3 of the Technical Guide?</p>	<p>The negative environmental effects assessment is missing an assessment of the required construction dewatering activities impacts on the groundwater quantity and quality from a human health perspective; i.e. impacts to wells and potable water source. Potential impacts to Prime Agricultural Land are also not described or addressed in this report.</p>	<p>Proponent to provide missing information & Municipality to confirm the need for a traffic management plan for the construction phase and roads condition surveys prior and post-construction to address impacts to local roads</p>	<p>It is not clear by the questions if the reviewer is referring to the Decommissioning Plan Report or the Construction Plan Report as the question and comments/actions do not refer to the same report.</p>
<p>5.</p>	<p>i) Property line setbacks not met - If a Property Line Setback and EIS report is prepared, then the Proponent is allowed to locate a turbine closer to a property line/significant environmental feature provided that they demonstrate no significant adverse effects will occur. Assuming the Property Setback & EIS reports fulfill the requirements, then the project complies. ii) Significant Wildlife Habitat Setback not met- See Environmental Impact Study report</p>		<p>Project complies - No comment. Refer to technical studies</p>
<p>6.</p>	<p>The report content wasn't reviewed by MH It should be noted that the Proponent has not provided the municipality the opportunity to review MTCS's written comments as described under clause 22 (a) of Ontario Regulation 359/09.</p>	<p>Proponent to provide MTCS Confirmation Letter</p>	<p>MTCS written letter are to be provided with the final REA application, not for the Draft REA application that was reviewed.</p>
<p>7.</p>	<p>An Environmental Impact Study Report is provided which assesses the impacts of all significant natural features within 120 m of the project location, as defined by the Technical Guide to Renewable Energy Approvals (MOE 2013). The significance of the natural features was determined in the Evaluation of Significance Report, which was completed generally in accordance with the NHA Guide for Renewable Energy Projects (MNR 2012). The assessment of potential negative effects is thorough and the mitigation measures outlined in this report appear to be sufficient to protect those features deemed</p>	<p>Proponent to provide MNRF Confirmation Letter</p>	<p>MNRF written letter are to be provided with the final REA application, not for the Draft REA application that was reviewed.</p>

Item	Comments	Actions	Proponent/Project Response
	<p>significant. However some project details are not yet provided which prevents a more complete review.</p> <p>It should be noted that the Proponent has not provided the municipality an opportunity to review MNRF's written comments as described under clause 37 (2) (b) and (c) of Ontario Regulation 359/09.</p>		
8.	<p>The report content wasn't reviewed by MH</p> <p>It should be noted that the Proponent has not provided the municipality an opportunity to review MNRF's written comments as described under clause 23 (3) (a) of Ontario Regulation 359/09</p>	<p>Proponent to provide MTCS Confirmation Letter</p>	<p>MTCS written letter are to be provided with the final REA application, not for the Draft REA application that was reviewed.</p>
9.	<p>The Natural Heritage Assessment Report is comprehensive and complies with the requirements of O.Reg. 359/09. It includes all of the required components, including a Records Review Report, a Site Investigations Report, an Evaluation of Significance Report and an Environmental Impact Study Report. It is noted that some site investigations were conducted in December and January, which is not ideal, and prevents a thorough evaluation of the community. It is also noted that some sites were not visited due to denied access, however an air photo interpretation was conducted. While the Natural Heritage Assessment Report provides a relatively thorough assessment of the natural features within the study area, some project details are not yet provided which prevents a more complete review.</p> <p>It should be noted that the Proponent has not provided the municipality an opportunity to review MNRF's written comments as described under clause 28 (3) (b) and (c) of Ontario Regulation 359/09</p> <p>What (if any) Endangered Species Act (ESA) requirements are there for this project? i.e. Is the Proponent required to obtain a permit/authorization? The NHA and EIS</p>	<p>Proponent to provide MNRF Confirmation Letter</p>	<p>To be provided with the final REA application.</p> <p>The ESA process and requirements are not addressed through the REA process. The Proponent is currently in consultation with the MNRF to address any ESA related requirements.</p>

Item	Comments	Actions	Proponent/Project Response
	<p>reports do not include any discussion of Endangered and Threatened Species or the requirement for an ESA authorization; we note that this information may be in a separate report at MNRF's request for confidentiality. Could the Proponent please provide this report or details on the ESA authorization to the municipality for review?</p>		
<p>10. Does the Project contain as an appendix, a Noise Study Report?</p>	<p>The report content wasn't reviewed by MH</p>	<p>Should the municipality feels there is public/residents' concerns regarding noise, a noise specialist will need to be hired to provide comments on this study report.</p>	<p>The Project is modelled in accordance with O. Reg. 359/09 and the MOECC Noise Guidelines (2016) for Wind Farms, including consideration of the Transition Rules for LRP I projects (as further described in Section 6 of the draft Noise Impact Assessment available on our website). The Transition Rules for LRP I projects do not alter the allowable sound level limits at noise receptors. Therefore, once operational, the Project must comply with the MOECC's current sound level limits and will be required to complete acoustic audits against the most recent Compliance Protocol.</p> <p>The Proponent is already engaging with the public and resident with any questions or concerns they have with the Project, including those related to noise. 3 public meetings were held since October 2016 and included wind turbine noise specialist.</p>
<p>11. Does the Project contain as an appendix, a Property Line Setback Written Assessment?</p>	<p>The detailed report content wasn't reviewed by MH. As noted above property line setbacks not met. If a Property Line Setback and EIS report is prepared, then the Proponent is allowed to locate a turbine closer to a property line/significant environmental feature provided that they demonstrate no significant adverse effects will occur. Assuming the Property Setback & EIS reports fulfills the requirements, then the project complies.</p>		<p>The property line setback assessment was completed for this project and has been commented on by the public. The PSA has been revised to include those comments for submittal as part of the REA application. Each turbine location meets the required setbacks based on the PSA report.</p>

Item	Comments	Actions	Proponent/Project Response
12. Does the Project contain as an appendix, a Water Body Assessment Report?	The Water Body Assessment Report complies with the requirements of O.Reg. 359/09 and includes an assessment of all water bodies within 120 m and Lake Trout lakes within 300 m of the project location, as defined by the Technical Guide to Renewable Energy Approvals (MOE 2013).		The Water Body Assessment was included with the Water Body Report in the initial release of the REA documents to the municipality and the county in draft format. The final WBA and WBR will be included in the REA submission package.
13. Does the Project contain as an appendix, a Specifications Report, Wind Facility (Not Class 2)?	The report content wasn't reviewed by MH		
14. Does the Project Design interfere with any proposed municipal projects or infrastructure?	The project will involve the modification of Township and County Roadways. Water and sewer works, as well as bridges may be affected by cable routing and heavy equipment transportation	Proponent is to identify and assess specific encroachments and impacts and provide detailed design measures to mitigate impacts on municipal infrastructure	Detailed engineering of the project will begin in 2018. The proponent will continue discussing the use of public right-of-ways during that time.

Item	Comments	Actions	Proponent/Project Response	
<p>15. Does the Project require any road sharing agreements, upgrades, or does the project conceptual design raise any concerns for the use of municipal infrastructure such as roads</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p>The project will involve the use of existing roadways for the transportation of wind turbine components to the site, as well as the required modification of existing roadways to accommodate the required construction vehicles. The construction period will involve the temporary closure of roads to allow for the transportation convoys of turbine tower components to the various turbine sites.</p>	<p>The proponent is required to enter into a Road Use Agreement and obtain permits for the use of Township and United Counties roads for (1) the use of roads for the transportation of heavy construction equipment, (2) the temporary widening or modifications to existing roadways for the construction or operation of the facility, and (3) the construction of new access roads joining existing municipal roadways. A Traffic Management Plan is also required to define the transportation routes, timing of closures, and the required road modifications, and how the road modifications will be restored to the original condition. The roadways will be subject to rapid deterioration during construction traffic and loading. The Township and United Counties will require a pre-construction and post-construction road condition assessment consistent with industry standards for assessment of flexible pavement condition, and will be required to return roadways to the pre-construction condition</p>	<p>The Proponent will continue to develop the Road Users Agreement with the township and the county.</p>

Item	Comments		Actions	Proponent/Project Response
16. Do the Appended technical studies meet the goals of the Township with regards to development and environmental stewardship	U	Review of the project compliance with development and environmental stewardship goals of the municipality was not part of MH's mandate.	Should the municipality have concerns about how the appended studies meeting the municipality's development and stewardship goals they will have to be identified to EDP Renewables.	We acknowledge the comment.
17. Does the ongoing consultation meet the needs/expectations of the municipality?	U		Should the municipality has further needs/expectations regarding consultation (including post REA approval) that will have to be clearly identified to EDP Renewables. It should be noted that as a Condition of Approval, MOECC has been known to include the requirement for the establishment of a Community Liaison Committee within three (3) months of receiving approval. The purpose of the committee is largely to facilitate two way communication between the Proponent and the public with respect to issues relating to construction, installation, use, operation, maintenance and retirement of the facility. If the municipality sees a benefit in this requirement for municipal staff and local residents it should be requested.	The Proponent will create Community Liaison Committee for the Project within 3 months of the REA decision in consultation with the municipality.

Item	Comments		Actions	Proponent/Project Response
18. Does the proposed construction plan pose problems for any other construction activities, events or projects occurring in the municipality?	Y	There are no known planned municipal construction projects within the project areas. If there are planned projects, it will be necessary for the Township to defer projects within the project area during the construction period to avoid conflict and Health and Safety concerns.	Township will need to confirm the capital works program and advise if any works are planned within the project area, and if any works need to be deferred until the completion of the construction	
19. Do the emergency management plans meet the Municipalities expectations?	N	No specific and detailed emergency management plan has been provided.	The municipality will need to review this information with their emergencies services. The current EMP for the Township will need to be updated with the EMP from the proponent.	

Item	Comments		Actions	Proponent/Project Response
<p>20. Are there any issues and concerns with the proposed site layout?</p>	<p>N</p>	<p>The only concern for the site layout is the anticipated impact and proximity to municipal drains and watercourses. Can the project make modifications to minimize impacts to existing municipal drains and watercourses? The siting of the turbines does exceed the minimum distance of the length of the turbine blade plus 10m.</p>	<p>Proponent is to advise as to the measures undertaken to minimize impact to drainage and to confirm set-backs from municipal right of ways</p>	<p>For clarity, all measurements from a wind turbine, as presented in the Water Body Report, are measured from the furthest extent of the blade tip, and therefore all water bodies have been sited at least 30m from the furthest potential extent of blade tip. In consideration of water bodies present within the Project Area, a 'Construction Drain' layer provided by SNRCA was reviewed, and the associated locations and characteristics of each identified feature were reviewed as part of the water body assessment for the Project. For any feature, including drains, that met the criteria for a water body, as defined by the MOECC, the Project has implemented a minimum 30m setback from turbines, as measured from blade tip (i.e. blade + 30m), which exceeds the requested set-back of blade plus 10m. The detailed mitigation measures that are being implemented within 30m of any water body have been described in the Water Body Report, and include (but are not limited to) erosion and sedimentation control, dust suppression, in-water timing windows, bank stability protection, spill prevention, and monitoring and control of groundwater taking.</p>

Engineering review of Project Description Report, Construction Plan Report, Design and Operations Report, Decommissioning Report

Construction Plan Report		
Report Section	Comment	Proponent/Project Response
General	The report seems to be generic and not site specific. Additional comments will arise once details are available. The Township requires an opportunity to comment on the detailed design once this is available.	
3.1.2	3 - 140m high Meteorological towers are to be constructed supported by guy wires and mounted on a concrete pad. Site grading details, access and building permit information is required for approval by the Township.	The Proponent will provide all of the required details for the permanent meteorological towers to the Township at the time that building permits are applied for.
3.1.3	Access roads to be constructed 20m wide for construction and 5 - 6m wide for maintenance and operations phase. This results in significant impacts to drainage during construction. What specific measures will be provided to manage stormwater and avoid negatively impacting agricultural drainage?	The Proponent has proposed mitigation measures to mitigate potential effects on drainage. These mitigation measures are listed under the EEMP (Section 11) of the Construction Report, Decommissioning Report and Design and Operation Report.
3.1.4	What is the extent of underground cabling versus overhead cables for collection of electrical energy? What condition justifies the use of underground cabling? For example, underground cabling is planned for the connection of Turbines 20 and 18 to the proposed substation	It is anticipated that most of the site will be served by underground cabling. Most of the collection route goes along private land and landowners generally prefer the cabling to be underground so as not to present an additional obstacle around which to farm. In addition, whether in the road ROW or on private land, underground cabling allows for greater reliability in colder climates as overhead collection cables are prone to icing. Finally, overhead cabling within road ROWs can present additional obstacles for field inlets where tall equipment enters. Underground cabling avoids these issues.
3.1.4.2	Sub-station and switch yard covers 4 to 7 hectares and will be situated next to each other. Has this location been determined? What services are required for the site? The Township will need to review the details of this facility including access, grading, stormwater management, servicing, and landscape design.	The location of the substation and switchyard has been determined and is included in the draft REA documents which were sent to the Township. The site will be served by electrical service. It is not anticipated that the substation or switchyard will require sewer or water service. At the time that building permits are applied for, the Proponent will furnish to the Township all required details regarding the grading, stormwater management and landscape design.

3.1.5	Three construction lay down areas are required, each 2 to 7 hectares in size. Where are these facilities? In addition, each turbine will require a 3 hectare construction staging area.	Laydown areas and project infrastructure types are identified in the final site plan maps submitted in the REA application package.
	Off-road crane paths will require total removal and restoration. These areas need to be delineated. The crane path intersections do not appear to have sufficient turning radii on the plans.	The crane paths will be delineated in the final site plan maps submitted in the REA application package. The crane paths are 20 meters in width and will utilize the construction disturbance areas of the adjacent access roads. Weights are generally removed from the crane when being walked which greatly reduces the weight per square inch of the track.
	Can equipment be washed off-site, or waste material generated from the washing removed from the sites? The report seems to indicate that waste materials such as concrete will remain on the site. This may have long-term impacts to agricultural use	Most equipment will be washed at the laydown yard periodically during construction. However, certain equipment, if working near environmentally sensitive areas, will need to be washed when entering and exiting such areas. Such washing routines will be governed by the REA permit in these areas in consultation with MNR. The Proponent is required to leave the sites in a tidy condition in our contracts with landowners and therefore will not leave waste concrete in field that will be ploughed. At the end of the useful life of the wind farm, the concrete foundations will be removed to 1 metre below grade, but the remainder of the foundation will stay in the ground. The Proponent does not expect any long-term impacts on agricultural use.
	The 136m towers will be constructed in 6 to 7 sections. What is the maximum length of each section to enable transportation to each turbine site?	Sections will likely be between 20m and 30 m in length.
4.7	The routing of underground cable network needs to be defined and reviewed to avoid conflicts with existing and future, planned infrastructure and utilities. Networks must avoid going through village areas.	The routing of the underground cable was shown in the draft REA documents sent to the Township. None of the routes go through the village areas. There is very little interaction between the proposed underground collection and existing utilities, although there is likely to be a crossing of the collection cables and the watermain which runs between Finch and Chrysler. Such crossing will be done in consultation with the Township at the time final design is completed. The proponent can also confirm that the collection route does not cross through the villages of Berwick, Chrysler and Finch.
4.8	Gravel parking areas will generate dust to surrounding areas. How will this be mitigated?	The Proponent is committed to mitigating fugitive dust released due to construction activities. Mitigation measures include the posting of on-site speed limits to be followed by all construction staff. The application of dust suppressants to unpaved areas will also be applied as determined by the on-site environmental monitor and the general contractor. Further information regarding dust emissions can be found in the Construction Plan Report of the Renewable Energy Approval Application.
4.3	Distinction needs to be made between permanent and temporary culvert installations as well as culverts crossing municipal drains. Do the addition of new culverts remain the	The installation of new or replaced culverts will be the responsibility of the proponent. The culvert installations will be permitted with the jurisdictional regulatory body.

	responsibility of the proponent or are they transferred to the property owners through the Municipal Drainage Act? In some cases the drainage ditches may have to be shifted in alignment to minimize culvert requirements.	
4.4	Maximum length of trucks and corresponding turning radius needs to be defined.	The length of trucks will be determined at a later date after the turbine type has been selected. This will be determined in 2018.
4.11	How will the extent of the clean-up of agricultural lands be determined and ensured to be complete. What recourse do residents have if the clean-up has not been completed to anticipated standards? Will the proponent catalogue the existing condition of adjacent lands to ensure lands are returned to pre-construction condition? Remaining stockpiles must be removed.	The standard of tidiness and cleanup of agricultural lands is established in the land leases between the Proponent and the landowners in private agreements. In addition, for each landowner with a wind turbine on the property, an escrow fund for decommissioning will be established at year 15 of operation to give additional security to the landowner over the cleanup of the site. The Proponent will not catalogue the existing condition of adjacent lands or ensure that such lands are returned to pre-construction condition as we will not be disturbing any lands which are not under lease or are not part of the project location.
	Construction start in fall of 2018 and will last 16 months – completion in Spring of 2020. When will the design be completed for review and what process will be followed?	It is expected that full project design in anticipation of application for building permits will be completed in mid-late 2018. For civil and electrical design, EDPR typically completes 30%, 70% and IFC (Issued for Construction) design.
	Cable crossings of watercourses needs to be defined. Will cables be installed under river beds?	It is anticipated that there will be a single crossing of the Nation River with collection circuits. At this time, it has not yet been determined whether such crossing will be underground or overhead. If it is the case that the crossing is underground, the cables will be directionally drilled. If overhead, the cables will span the river on two dead-end poles.
Appendix B Conceptual Stormwater, Erosion and Sediment Management Plan		
	Project intersects 39 of the 63 waterbodies located within the project area	
4.1	We are concerned that drainage channels serving access roads will increase drainage efficiency and flows to downstream. The Township requires assurances that peak flows and volumes will be managed, especially during construction when roads are expected to be 20m wide	The conceptual Stormwater, Erosion and Sediment Management Plan (SESMP) presents the draft prevention and mitigation measures that will be taken to avoid or minimize the Project impacts on potential stormwater runoff or soil erosion. Conditions of the Renewables Energy Approval for the Project, if obtained, will include the requirement to prepare a Detailed SESMP for the Project before construction and this plan will have to be approved by the Director of the MOECC. Section 4.1 of the Conceptual SESMP includes mitigation measures that are planned to prevent the transportation of sediment overland and deposition into surrounding natural areas, including watercourses, woodlands and wetlands. A monitoring program will be implemented during the construction and decommissioning phases of the Project to inspect the erosion and sediment control measures after each significant rainfall, and at least once a week. The Proponent will then implement mitigation measure and monitoring allowing to manage peak flows and volumes.

4.1	Where will underdrains below turbine discharge to? How will this system affect tile drainage systems in the fields	<p>Due to the footprint they occupy, turbines do not change significantly the water flow of the surrounding area. On-going landowner liaison will occur during construction to minimize impact on existing tiles. No impact is expected or has been raised in operational wind farms.</p> <p>It is not expected that any underdrains, if installed, will discharge into the municipal storm sewer system.</p>
	<p>Will specific County or Township road drainage systems be affected by increased runoff and peak flows? Access roads are being constructed in areas where drainage direction may be perpendicular to the road. Drainage patterns may be altered by the construction of the access road resulting in more runoff being directed to road side ditches</p>	<p>The Project consists of gentle slopes (Between 0° – 3.5°). The existing draining pattern will be maintained by using limited grading, by maintaining surrounding agricultural land use and with the installation of conveyance infrastructure such as drain and culverts. The change in impervious surface represents the primary factor associated with potential impact to the hydrology within the Project study area. Percent variation in impervious areas per catchment resulting from the Project will be low by conservative estimates. The Project is divided into 12 sub-catchment areas. Erosion and sediment control measure will be implemented and approved by the MOECC to ensure County or Township road drainage systems will not be affected. Each culvert will be designed by a qualified professional.</p>
	<p>A runoff coefficient of 0.55 seems to be too high for agricultural land. How was this determined? This value, if overestimated, may result in underestimating the post-construction or operational increase in runoff that needs to be managed</p>	<p>The runoff coefficient value has been conservatively estimated to 0.55, based on existing literature and due to the presence of agricultural lands generally barren from November to April. 0.55 is the coefficient proposed in the Drainage Management Manual (MTO 1997) for cultivated areas, flat (0-5%) and in Clay loam/loam. Due to the presence of urban areas and roads, a conservatively high value was provided in this conceptual SESMP. It should be noted that this value has been given to provide additional details about the land use within the project boundary; however, a qualified professional will evaluate the appropriate runoff coefficient before calculating flow rates are each watercourse/drainage system at the detailed design phase and ensure that the runoff coefficient value will be adequate in order to avoid underestimating post-construction runoff.</p>
Design and Operations Report		
Report Section	Comment	
4.4	<p>The report mentions that additional turning radii may be required for Crane access during operations. Where, specifically would these permanent additional turning radii be required, and what is the minimum turning radii?</p>	<p>The proponent will not be installing permanent turning radii for the operation of the project. The temporary turning radii will be installed during construction to transport parts to the project. Once the construction is completed these turning radii will be removed. In the case that additional parts need to be delivered to the project site, the temporary turning radii will be reinstalled and removed after delivery.</p>
4.4	<p>Approximately how many County and Township roads and intersections will require temporary widened turning radii? This section needs to outline the locations, timing, and design of the turning radii. The Township is also concerned that the construction of the</p>	<p>Turning radii will need to be installed at the entrance of each turbine access road. The total number of turning radii to be installed on County and Township intersections will differ based on the turbine type to be selected in 2018. The proponent will be including this in the RUA discussions.</p>

	wider turning radii be restricted to construction traffic only to prevent higher speed use by local traffic during the construction period.	
4.3	When will the locations and layouts of the substation, switchyards, laydown areas, and meteorological towers be determined and brought for review to the Township? The Township has concerns about servicing, traffic impacts, access and amenities associated with these installations. The Township will require an opportunity to provide servicing requirements related to these specific site developments.	The locations of the substation, switchyard, laydown areas and met towers will be delineated in the site plan maps which will be submitted in the REA application package.
4.4	Restoration details are required for the transition from the initial 20m width to 5-6m in width. This potentially impacts private and Township infrastructure such as ditches, roads, and utilities. In addition, measures to stockpile and conserve top-soil within the affected lands need to be implemented.	Generally, topsoil is removed and stockpiled outside of the transportation routes located on private land. Mats are laid on the ground prior to installation of aggregate to develop the access route. Once the larger width of the road is no longer needed, the aggregate is removed along with the mat and the top soil restored up to the edge of the permanent access road.
4	The report does not mention the proximity of the project elements to Township underground installations such as sanitary sewers, forcemains and watermains. Can the proponent outline how the project will potentially impact this infrastructure and what mitigation measures will be taken in the design?	The Proponent and its consultants have completed a thorough review of the existing infrastructure in the project location and have taken such infrastructure into account in the layout of the project. Most of the Township infrastructure such as sanitary sewers, forcemains and watermains are located within the villages of Chrysler, Berwick and Finch and the project infrastructure is largely located away from these villages. However, the Proponent is aware of some locations in which the watermains exist outside of the villages and are likely to be crossed by the project electrical collection system and potentially crossings of delivery vehicles per the transportation plan. In the case of crossings by the collection system, the Proponent will work with the Township to determine the best crossing method, whether by excavation or by directional drilling, maintaining minimum separations distances. In the case of crossing the watermain with heavy loads, the Proponent's transportation contractor will determine whether loads exceed the posted limits on the public road, and if such loads are in excess of the posted loads, consultation with the Township Roads Superintendent on temporary mitigation will take place and will be governed by the Road Users Agreement between the Township and the Proponent. Some options could be to provide plating over the watermain crossing area, or additional cover. In any case, it should be noted that for most delivery components, individual axle loads are not expected to exceed posted limits.

<p>4.5.1</p>	<p>Electrical collector lines need to be located such that they do not conflict with existing and planned infrastructure. Overhead distribution is preferred to underground installation except within the Village areas.</p>	<p>It is anticipated that most of the site will be served by underground cabling. Most of the collection route goes along private land and landowners generally prefer the cabling to be underground so as not to present an additional obstacle around which to farm. In addition, whether in the road ROW or on private land, underground cabling allows for greater reliability in colder climates as overhead collection cables are prone to icing. Finally, overhead cabling within road ROWs can present additional obstacles for field inlets where tall equipment enters. Underground cabling avoids these issues. It is not anticipated that the collection route will go through village areas. Any crossing of the collection cables with existing Township infrastructure will be designed in consultation with the Township - the most likely example is the crossing of collection and the watermain which runs from Finch to Crysler. Design consultation with the Township will assist in determining the best method for crossing, whether through excavation or directional drilling.</p>
<p>Page 19</p>	<p>The Township may not have a municipal storm sewer by-law to stipulate water quality requirements. In this case the applicant must meet the Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Environmental water quality guidelines, or Environmental Compliance Approval requirements if applicable,</p>	
<p>Page 22</p>	<p>The Township and the United Counties will require an overall Traffic Management Plan for the construction phase. The Township and the Counties require an opportunity to review and comment on the TMP before it is finalized. A detailed construction schedule along with delivery times/routes and temporary closures will need to be outlined as part of the TMP. Emergency services will need to comment and receive notifications on the planned closures. Due to the large size of the tower structures, trial or test deliveries may be required to ensure that the routes can accommodate the deliveries.</p>	<p>It is anticipated that the review of the Traffic Management Plan and transportations routes will be governed by the Road Users Agreement between the Proponent and the Township, which is currently being negotiated.</p>
<p>Page 22</p>	<p>Both the Township and the United Counties will require a complete roads condition survey prior to construction and post-construction. The proponent will need to apply the latest industry standard for the assessment of pavement condition applicable to the Province of Ontario. Condition assessment (CCTV reports) of any storm and sanitary sewers under proposed transportation routes will also need to be conducted.</p>	<p>It is expected that pre- and post-construction condition surveys will be part of the Road Users Agreement, currently being negotiated between the Proponent and the Township. A pavement condition assessment per Ontario standards will also be part of the RUA.</p>

	Building permits will be required for all structures associated with the project	This is noted. EDPR will work with the Township of North Stormont to apply for all necessary building permits for structures associated with the project.
	Municipal drains will be affected by the construction of access roads and/or widening of existing roads. The proponent is responsible to conduct the necessary drainage studies to support changes or alterations to drainage channels and crossings, or new crossings – under the Drainage Act.	The Proponent acknowledges this comment.
7	Are there any expectations from the local emergency services in terms of dealing with fires or other emergencies associated with the Wind Farm installations? For example, fires or explosions on the towers and at the sub-station or switch yard.	There will be technicians on site monitoring during regular business hours. Additionally, both the manufacturer and the Proponent will have a remote operations control center monitoring the site 24/7 via the turbine SCADA system. Site teams will be notified of issues and respond accordingly depending upon the situation. Each site will have an Emergency Response Plan to address a variety of situations and will be developed in consultation with local emergency services.
7	Are there any special provisions during construction? For example the project area is very large. It is conceivable that the Wind Farm contractor will be defined as the Constructor and will take responsibility for all health and safety during construction. Any other contractors working in the project area will need to follow the H&S procedures defined by the Constructor.	It is expected that a single (although it is possible that there may be multiple Tier-1 contractors) Balance of Plant (BoP) contractor will have control of the site during construction. All subcontractors will need to adhere to the health and safety requirements of the Tier 1 contractor(s) in concert with EDPR's Health and Safety Policy as well as all local, Provincial and Federal requirements.
41	Three (3) active petroleum wells or facilities have been identified within 75 metres of the project location. A copy of the Engineer's Report prepared as required under the Approval and Permitting Requirements Document for Renewable Energy Projects (APRD) should be provided for the Municipality's review to confirm the location of the wells and sufficiency of the analysis to allow for the 75 metre setback to be reduced. Can the Proponent confirm this report has been submitted to the MNR for review?	The Proponent has checked the location of local petroleum wells with multiple sources and has not found any within the project location. It appears that the closest wells are around 3 km from the project location. We will follow up with Morrison Hershfield to understand the locations of wells they found. However, we would note also that MNR has now signed off on the EIS for the project and that there was no nexus between the project location and petroleum wells mentioned in their review.